

Using SCALE for Fuel Cycle Analysis

Jin Whan Bae

SCALE Users' Group Meeting 2020

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



Introduction

- Recent advances in advanced reactors / fuel
 - Fuel composition
 - HALEU
 - Pu-U
 - TRU-U
 - Fuel technologies
 - ATF
 - Higher burnup

How do we connect the impact of those technologies to the system?



Nuclear Fuel Cycle

- All the steps related to creating / treating nuclear fuel
- Frontend:
 - Mining /milling
 - Enrichment
- Backend:
 - Disposal
 - Reprocessing
- Focus for this presentation is on Reactor



* Reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel, including mixed-oxide (MOX) fuel, is not practiced in the United States Note: The NRC has no regulatory role in mining uranium.

As of January 2019









- Flexible, modular, agent-based, market-driven fuel cycle simulator
- Open Source
- Collaborative development with multiple universities
 - Univ. Of Wisconsin
 - Univ. Of Illinois Urbana Champaign
 - Univ. of South Carolina
 - Others
- Can couple external tools easily to `play' in the simulation



Depletion modeling in Fuel Cycle Simulations





5

Methods

Four levels of `doing depletion' in a fuel cycle simulator:

1. Data-driven, Recipes (low cost, low flexibility)

- Simply define depleted fuel composition
- Works when input composition and operational parameters are constant
- 2. ML Method (low cost, middle flexibility)
 - Trained ML algorithm to predict depleted composition
 - Requires a lot of data generation beforehand
 - CLASS
 - CYCLUS



Methods

3. Couple with ORIGEN (middle cost, middle flexibility)

- Transport calculation result is obtained from external SCALE simulation (.f33)
 - One-group reactor data library (transition coefficients derived from collapsed multi-group flux spectrum)
 - Can also generate .f33 from pre-generated ORIGEN libraries (Steve Skutnik CYBORG)
- Responds to input composition and operational parameter change
- 4. Complete Coupling (high cost, high flexibility)
 - Run transport and depletion during Cyclus simulation
 - Prohibitively expensive



Use Cases: Dynamic Scenarios

- Continuously changing input fuel composition
 - Continuous reprocessing scenarios with multi-stage reprocessing
 - Reprocessed Pu vector changes -> Input MOX fuel composition changes
- Continuously changing operational parameters
 - Can model increasing burnup/enrichment throughout reactor lifetime
- Uncertainty Quantification
 - Given uncertainty in fuel burnup for each fuel assembly,
 - Whats the uncertainty of the final US UNF Pu inventory?



Workflow

- 1. Select Nuclear reactor design / fuel
- 2. Generate .f33 file for reactor design
 - One-group reactor data library (transition coefficients derived from collapsed multi-group flux spectrum)
- 3. Use Cyclus to assess impact
 - 1. Front end material demand per power
 - 2. Back end material composition
 - 1. UNF mass
 - 2. Radioactivity (specific activity)
 - 3. Decay heat
 - 4. Fissile content (for reprocessing)



Conclusion

- Project to create a repository of data for different fuel cycle technologies **in transition scenarios**
 - Resource demand per unit energy
 - Specific decay heat / activity for UNF for that technology
- Use this workflow to assess role of reactor technologies in more complex scenarios
 - Transition scenario (current LWR fleet-> advanced reactor)
 - Assessing fissile material demand
 - Repository demand / specifications (spacing between canisters)

