

Crossing the Streams – Sampler and the TemplateEngine

An uncertainty quantification story



ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



Objectives

- Understand the stochastic sampling approach to uncertainty quantification in Sampler
- Perform a range of uncertainty quantification calculations with SCALE
- Use the SCALE TemplateEngine to generate repeated pieces of input for both KENO and Sampler inputs



Outline

- 1. Sampler introduction
- 2. TemplateEngine introduction
- 3. Mini-assembly problem specification
- 4. Uncertainty quantification calculations
 - 1. Bounding approach
 - 2. Common sampling
 - 3. Unique sampling



Questions before we get started?



What is Sampler?

- Sampler is a versatile UQ and parametric study tool that can be applied to any SCALE Sequence
- Sampler can perturb any quantity in any SCALE input
- Recent work at ORNL has developed new types of covariance data that allow Sampler UQ to be applied to nearly all SCALE applications
 - Reactor depletion
 - UNF fuel characterization
 - Source term analysis
 - Decay heat calculation
- In SCALE 6.2 releases, CE data in transport cannot be perturbed

Sources of Sampler Nuclear Data Uncertainty

- Cross section covariances:
 - ENDF-VII.1 supplemented by other sources (SCALE cov. library)
- Fission product yield:
 - Standard deviations from ENDF/B-VII; correlations generated by combining independent and cumulative yields
- Decay data:
 - ENDF-VII.1 modified to include branching correlations due to constraint that branch sum=1.0



Pu-239 fission covariance







Input for nuclear data perturbations is easy





Nuclear data sampling in KENO





8

Input placeholders

- Syntax: replace input to be sampled with variable name inside braces with a pound sign prefix in SCALE input #{variable}
- Variable name declared in variable block in Sampler input
- Variable names can have:
 - Letters (case sensitive)
 - Numbers
 - Underscores
 - No dashes
 - Must start with a letter
 - Error message for forgetting this constraint is not clear



Placeholders (aka Embedded Input)

SCALE Standard Composition Input

read composition					
uranium	1 den=18.7	42 1 300			
92235	93.7112				
92238	5.2686				
92234	1.0202 e	and			
end composition					

With Placeholder Variables

read composition				
uranium	1 den=18.742 1 300			
92235	5 #{u235_wo}			
92238	3 #{u238_wo}			
92234	4 #{u234_wo} end			
end compositi	ion			



Variable blocks

- Each variable is defined in its own block
 - No limit on number of variable blocks
- Each variable block contains:
 - Variable name
 - Distribution type (more later)
 - Distribution parameters
 - May contain SIREN statement
 - Not discussing SIREN in this workshop due to time constraints
 - Method for substituting variables into input without modifying the SCALE input
 - Cases in which variable is used



Variable block examples

```
read variable[u235_wo]
  distribution=normal
  value=93.7112
  stddev=0.05
  min=93.5
  max=93.9224
  cases= godiva end
end variable
```

```
read variable[u234_wo]
  distribution=uniform
  value=1.0202
  min=1
  max=1.0404
  cases= godiva end
end variable
```

```
read variable[u238_wo]
    distribution=expression
    expression = "100 - u235_wo - u234_wo"
    cases= godiva end
end variable
```



Distributions in Sampler

- 4 options for *distribution* = in a variable block
- Normal
 - Gaussian with specified average and standard deviation
 - Can be truncated
- Uniform
 - Constant probability between max and min
- Beta
 - Tunable distribution with 2 free parameters (a & β)
- Expression



Input sampling in KENO







Questions?

If not, on to the TemplateEngine!



What is the TemplateEngine?

- Tool to expand a template to accelerate model creation
 - Particularly useful for generating repeated copies of a structure
- TemplateEngine introduced in SCALE 6.2
 - Similar TemplateEngine used in UNF-ST&DARDS
 - Uses similar substitution logic to placeholders in Sampler
- Templates can be imported and expanded in-line at time of execution
- Fuel assemblies lend themselves to this approach given repeated structures



Example substitution template

```
' fuelr=#{fuelr=0.47} gapr=#{gapr=0.4875} cladr=#{cladr=0.545} hpitch=#{hpitch=0.82}
unit 1
    zcylinder 101 1 #{fuelr} 365.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 0 1 #{gapr} 372.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    cuboid 3 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    cuboid 3 1 #{hpitch} -#{hpitch} #{hpitch} -#{hpitch} 383.26 -4.0
'
unit 2
    zcylinder 102 1 #{fuelr} 365.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 0 1 #{gapr} 372.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 102 1 #{fuelr} 365.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 102 1 #{fuelr} 365.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{fuelr} 365.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{fuelr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 #{cladr} 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 3 1 #{hpitch} -#{hpitch} #{hpitch} -#{hpitch} 383.26 -4.0
```

Creates:

```
' fuelr=0.47 gapr=0.4875 cladr=0.545 hpitch=0.82
unit 1
    zcylinder 101 1 0.47 365.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 0 1 0.4875 372.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    cuboid 3 1 0.82 -0.82 0.82 -0.82 383.26 -4.0
'
unit 2
    zcylinder 102 1 0.47 365.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 0 1 0.4875 372.76 0.0 origin 0 0
    zcylinder 2 1 0.545 383.26 -4.0 origin 0 0
    cuboid 3 1 0.82 -0.82 0.82 -0.82 383.26 -4.0
```



Example repetition template

#for(i=1; i<=272; i=i+1) {</pre> unit #{i+1000} ' bottom end plug cylinder 2 1 0.47 0.97 0.00 origin 0.80 0.80 cuboid 4 1 1.60 0.0 1.60 0.0 0.97 0.00 unit #{i+2000} ' submerged portion of fuel stack 73.53 0.0 origin 0.80 0.80 cylinder 1 1 0.3946 73.53 0.0 origin 0.80 0.80 cylinder 3 1 0.41

 cylinder 2 1 0.47
 73.53
 0.0
 origin 0.80
 0.80

 cuboid 4 1 1.60
 0.0
 1.60
 0.0
 73.53
 0.0

 unit #{i+3000} 'fuel above water level 89.70 73.53 origin 0.80 0.80 cylinder 10 1 0.3946 89.70 73.53 cylinder 30 1 0.41 origin 0.80 0.80 cylinder 20 1 0.47 89.70 73.53 origin 0.80 0.80 cuboid 31 1 1.60 0.0 1.60 0.0 89.70 73.53 unit #{i+4000} 'spring region of fuel rod - in grid plate cylinder 30 1 0.41 96.70 96.45 origin 0.80 0.80 cylinder 20 1 0.47 96.70 96.45 origin 0.80 0.80 cylinder 31 1 0.50 96.70 96.45 origin 0.80 0.80 cuboid 5 1 1.60 0.0 1.60 0.0 96.70 96.45 unit #{i+5000} 'top end plug cylinder 20 1 0.47 98.20 96.90 origin 0.80 0.80 cuboid 31 1 1.60 0.0 1.60 0.0 98.20 96.90

• Creates 272 unique copies of the 5 units for use in an array



18



Questions?

If not, on to the problem.



Mini-assembly description

- Problem included in KENO primers
- 9×9 array, including 72 fuel rods and 9 guide tubes
- UO₂ fuel at 3.5 wt% ²³⁵U enrichment
- Pitch 1.64 ± 0.02 cm
- MG KENO V.a input provided, named **nominal.inp**





UQ discussion

- \bullet Examine k_{eff} uncertainty associated with fuel pin pitch
 - Pitch defined as center-to-center spacing within assembly
 - Set in model with rod centered inside cuboid dimensioned ± half pitch
- Three different approaches to quantify the uncertainty
 - 1. Bounding run min and max, calculate uncertainty as difference from nominal to higher of the two extreme cases, plus 1.96×uncertainty
 - 2. Common sampling run series of random pitch sizes sampled from within the uncertainty range, calculate uncertainty as 1.645×StDev
 - 3. Unique sampling run series of random rod placements with each rod positioned using Sampler, calculate uncertainty as 1.645×StDev



Bounding calculations

- Nominal half pitch is 0.82 cm
 - Maximum half pitch is 0.84 cm
 - Minimum half pitch is 0.80 cm
- Input changes are minimal: just change size of cuboids and half-pitch for cross section processing

•
$$\Delta k = k_{high} - k_{nom} + 1.96 \left[\sqrt{\sigma_{high}^2 + \sigma_{nom}^2} \right]$$

Note: This equation is for demonstration purposes only. It adds a two-sided **95%** multiplier based on a normal distribution.



Bounding calculations - Results

Case	Quick Calculation		Production Calculation	
	$k_{ m eff}$	σ	$k_{ m eff}$	σ
Min (0.80 cm)	0.68073	0.00039	0.68040	0.00009
Nom (0.82 cm)	0.69092	0.00045	0.69064	0.00010
Max (0.84 cm)	0.70017	0.00043	0.70027	0.00010
Δk	0.01047		0.00991	



Bounding calculations - Discussion

- As expected, the assembly is undermoderated so a larger pitch increases reactivity
- A 1% Δk reactivity margin is large
 - Tolerance used here may be ~50% larger than realistic tolerance
 - Linear response, so margin may really be closer to 0.6% Δk
- Only 2 additional, simple calculations are needed
- Can we invest more engineering and computer time and get a smaller uncertainty?



Common sampling

- Generate a number of different assemblies, each with a pitch sampled from the distribution of possible values
- Assume that each assembly is fabricated with a constant pitch
- Run a series of realizations to determine the associated uncertainty
- Problems:
 - Modifying input to work with Sampler
 - More run-time possible dozens to hundreds of cases needed
 - What distribution to sample from?



Common sampling - Calculations

- Save nominal_placeholders.inp on your computer
- Provide path inside common_Sampler.inp on line 10
 - If desired, change number of cases on line 4
- For this exercise, a uniform distribution across the range is used
 - Effect of one normal distribution is also provided
 - Real data could be used if it were available to the analyst
- Run Sampler
 - Sampler creates n_samples perturbed cases and the nominal case
 - Sampler then runs the cases by default



Common sampling - Results

Case	σ_{keff}	Δk		
Uniform from 0.80 to 0.84				
10 cases, ±45 pcm	0.00677	0.01114		
100 cases, ±45 pcm	0.00576	0.00948		
100 cases, ±10 pcm	0.00574	0.00945		
Truncated normal, Average=0.82 ± 0.02, Standard Deviation=0.01				
10 cases, ±45 pcm	0.00295	0.00485		
100 cases, ±45 pcm	0.00460	0.00756		
100 cases, ±10 pcm	0.00458	0.00753		



Common sampling - Discussion

- Distribution matters what can you prove?
- Monte Carlo uncertainty doesn't matter given the magnitude of the reactivity changes caused by the pin pitch changes
- Uniform distribution is no better than bounding approach
 - At least it took a lot more computing time
- Do fuel assemblies really have a uniform pitch?
 - Can we model something more realistic?



Random sampling

- What you've all been waiting for...
- 1. Create template for KENO fuel rod unit
- 2. Create template for Sampler fuel rod unit
- 3. Use TemplateEngine to expand templates
- 4. Assemble KENO and Sampler inputs
- 5. Run Sampler to position each rod in the assembly uniquely



Random sampling – KENO template

• Save KENO_fuel_rod.tmpl on your computer

Origin must be provided so that it can be changed with Sampler



}

Random sampling – Sampler template

- Save sampler_rod_pos.tmpl to your computer
- SIREN statements needed since placeholders can't be passed through the TemplateEngine
 - SIREN: SCALE Input Retrieval Engine
 - Provides path to data to be substituted in Sampler
- Three cylinders (fuel, gap, clad) must remain concentric
 - Same origin substituted for all three via SIREN
- Sample distance and direction of displacement separately
 - X coordinate of origin is displacement $\times \cos(\theta)$
 - Y coordinate of origin is displacement $\times \sin(\theta)$



```
#for(i=1; i<=72; i=i+1) {</pre>
                                              Loop over 72 fuel rods
.
  sample displacement distance and theta
.
read variable[displacement distance #{i}]
   distribution=uniform
                                              Sample displacement uniformly from -0.02 to +0.02 cm
  minimum=-0.02 value=0.0 maximum=0.02
   cases = unique end
end variable
read variable[theta #{i}]
   distribution=uniform
                                              Sample displacement direction uniformly from 0 to 2\pi rad
  minimum=0 value=0 maximum=6.2831853
   cases=unique end
end variable
.
 calculate x and y origins
.
read variable[origin x #{i}]
   distribution=expression
   expression="displacement distance #{i}*cos(theta #{i})"
   siren="/csas5/geometry/unit[id='#{i+10}']/zcylinder[1:3]/region origin[decl='origin']/value[1:5:2]"
   cases=unique end
end variable
                                              Calculating origin coordinates and SIREN substitutions
read variable[origin y #{i}]
   distribution=expression
   expression="displacement distance #{i}*sin(theta #{i})"
   siren="/csas5/geometry/unit[id='#{i+10}']/zcylinder[1:3]/region origin[decl='origin']/value[2:6:2]"
   cases=unique end
end variable
```

32 **CAK RIDGE**

TemplateEngine expansion of templates

• KENO template

- /path/to/scale/bin/TemplateEngine KENO_fuel_rod.tmpl > KENO_fuel_rods.part
- C:\scale6.2.3\bin\TemplateEngine.exe KENO_fuel_rod.tmpl > KENO_fuel_rods.part

• Sampler template

- /path/to/scale/bin/TemplateEngine sampler_rod_pos.tmpl > sampler_rods.part
- C:\scale6.2.3\bin\TemplateEngine.exe sampler_rod_pos.tmpl > sampler_rods.part



Assemble KENO and Sampler inputs

- Copy nominal_unique.inp to your computer
 - KENO skeleton
 - Array filled with unique rod units
 - Insert KENO_fuel_rods.part where indicated around line 24
- Copy unique_Sampler.inp to your computer
 - Sampler skeleton
 - Provide path to assembled nominal_unique KENO input on line 9
 - Insert sampler_rods.part where indicated around line 15



Run Sampler input to create and run KENO jobs

- Submit unique_Sampler.inp after assembled
 - Set to create and run 10 perturbed cases and the nominal case
 - Each case creates a png file through the midplane of the assembly
 - These png files can be viewed to see that each rod was moved to unique positions
 - Can also review the 10 perturbed inputs to confirm unique rod positions

) ()



Unique Sampling - Results

Case	σ_{keff}	Δk		
Uniform from -0.02 to 0.02				
10 cases, ±45 pcm	0.00033	0.00055		
100 cases, ±45 pcm	0.00047	0.00077		
100 cases, ±10 pcm	0.00012	0.00020		
Truncated normal, Average=0 ± 0.02, Standard Deviation=0.01				
10 cases, ±45 pcm	0.00040	0.00060		
100 cases, ±45 pcm	0.00043	0.00071		
100 cases, ±10 pcm	0.00012	0.00019		



Unique sampling - Discussion

- Essentially no reactivity effect associated with random placement nearly the same as the KENO uncertainty
 - Can random modeling approach be justified to regulators?
 - What margin needs to be taken?
- Likely a more realistic modeling approach
 - Does this uncertainty matter if uncertainties are considered independently?
- Distribution is less important with these small changes
- This is just an illustration there are likely other areas this approach could be helpful

37



- Sampler was originally designed for stochastic sampling with any sequence within SCALE
 - Parametric capability added in SCALE 6.2.2
- Sampler can be used for uncertainty quantification
 - Sample data in static or depletion calculations
 - Sample inputs for uncertainties in compositions and dimensions
- The SCALE TemplateEngine allows for expanding templates to full inputs
- The combination provides a powerful UQ tool





Questions?

Thanks for your attention!

