

# **HEAVY-SECTION STEEL IRRADIATION (HSSI) PROGRAM (W6953)**

**Monthly  
Letter Status  
Report**

August 2003

## DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

Reports produced after January 1, 1996, are generally available free via the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Information Bridge.

Web site <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>

Reports produced before January 1, 1996, may be purchased by members of the public from the following source.

National Technical Information Service  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
**Telephone** 703-605-6000 (1-800-553-6847)  
**TDD** 703-487-4639  
**Fax** 703-605-6900  
**E-mail** [info@ntis.fedworld.gov](mailto:info@ntis.fedworld.gov)

**Web site** <http://www.ntis.gov/support/ordernowabout.htm>

Reports are available to DOE employees, DOE contractors, Energy Technology Data Exchange (ETDE) representatives, and International Nuclear Information System (INIS) representatives from the following source.

Office of Scientific and Technical Information  
P.O. Box 62  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831  
**Telephone** 865-576-8401  
**Fax** 865-576-5728  
**E-mail** [reports@adonis.osti.gov](mailto:reports@adonis.osti.gov)  
**Web site** <http://www.osti.gov/contact.html>

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ORNL/HSSI (W6953)/MLSR-2003/11

HEAVY-SECTION STEEL IRRADIATION  
PROGRAM  
JCN W6953

MONTHLY LETTER STATUS REPORT  
FOR

August 2003

Submitted by

T. M. Rosseel  
HSSI Project Manager

Compiled by  
P. J. Hadley

Submitted to  
C. J. Fairbanks  
NRC Project Manager

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831  
managed by  
UT-Battelle, LLC.  
for the  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
Under DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725

## Internal Use Only


This document has not been given final patent clearance and is for internal use only. If this document is to be given public release, it must be cleared through the site Technical Information Office which will see that the proper patent and technical information reviews are completed in accordance with UT-Battelle Policy.

## PREFACE

This report is issued monthly by the staff of the Heavy-Section Steel Irradiation (HSSI) Program (JCN:W6953) to provide the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff with summaries of technical highlights, important issues, and financial and milestone status within the program.

This report gives information on several topics corresponding to events during the reporting month: (1) overall project objective, (2) technical activities, (3) meetings and trips, (4) publications and presentations, (5) property acquired, (6) problem areas, and (7) plans for the next reporting period. Next the report gives a breakdown of overall program costs as well as cost summaries and earned-value-based estimates for performance for the total program and for each of the six program tasks. The six tasks, including a project management task, correspond to the 189, dated March 7, 2001. The final part of the report provides financial status for all tasks and status reports for selected milestones within each task. The task milestones address the period from October 2001 to July 2004, while the individual task budgets address the period from October 2002 to December 2003.

Beginning in October 1992, the monthly business calendar of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory was changed and no longer coincides with the Gregorian/Julian calendar. The business month now ends earlier than the last day of the calendar month to allow adequate time for processing required financial reports to the Department of Energy. The precise reporting period for each month is indicated on the financial and milestone charts by including the exact start and finish dates for the current business month.



Thomas M. Rosseel, Manager  
Heavy-Section Steel Irradiation

**MONTHLY LETTER STATUS REPORT**  
**August 2003**

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| <b>Job Code Number:</b>         | <b>W6953</b>   |
| <b>Project Title:</b>           | <b>Heavy-Section Steel Irradiation Program</b>                 |
| <b>Period of Performance:</b>   | <b>4/1/98 to 12/31/05</b>                                      |
| <b>Performing Organization:</b> | <b>Oak Ridge National Laboratory</b>                           |
| <b>Program Manager:</b>         | <b>T. M. Rosseel</b>   |
| <b>Address:</b>                 | <b>P.O. Box 2008</b><br><b>Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6161</b> |
| <b>Telephone:</b>               | <b>(865) 574-5380</b>  |
| <b>Telefax:</b>                 | <b>(865) 574-6095</b>  |
| <b>Email:</b>                   | <b>rosseeltm@ornl.gov</b>                                      |

**1. PROJECT OBJECTIVE:**

The primary goal of the Heavy-Section Steel Irradiation (HSSI) Program is to provide a thorough, quantitative assessment of the effects of neutron irradiation on the material behavior, and in particular the fracture toughness properties, of typical pressure vessel steels as they relate to light-water reactor pressure vessel (RPV) integrity. The program includes studies of the effects of irradiation on the degradation of mechanical and fracture properties of vessel materials augmented by enhanced examinations and modeling of the accompanying microstructural changes. Effects of specimen size; material chemistry; product form and microstructure; irradiation fluence, flux, temperature, and spectrum; and post-irradiation mitigation are being examined on a wide range of fracture properties. This program will also maintain and upgrade computerized databases, calculational procedures, and standards relating to RPV fluence-spectra determinations and embrittlement assessments. Results from the HSSI studies will be incorporated into codes and standards directly applicable to resolving major regulatory issues that involve RPV irradiation embrittlement such as pressurized-thermal shock, operating pressure-temperature limits, low-temperature overpressurization, and the specialized problems associated with low upper-shelf welds. Five technical tasks and one for program management are now contained in the HSSI Program.

**2. TECHNICAL ACTIVITIES:**

**TASK 1: Program Management (T. M. Rosseel)**

This task is responsible for managing the program to ensure that the overall objectives are achieved. The management responsibilities include three major activities: (1) program planning and resource allocation; (2) program monitoring and control; and (3) documentation and technology transfer. Program planning and resource allocation includes: (a) developing and preparing annual budgetary proposals and (b) issuing and administering subcontracts to other contractors and consultants for specialized talents not available at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) or that supplement those at ORNL. Program monitoring and control includes: (a) monitoring and controlling the project through an earned-value, project-

management system; (b) ensuring that quality assurance (QA) requirements are satisfied; and (c) issuing monthly management reports. Documentation and technology transfer includes: (a) participating in appropriate codes and standards committees; (b) preparing briefings for the NRC; (c) coordinating NRC and internal ORNL review activities; (d) coordinating domestic and foreign information exchanges approved by NRC; and (e) documenting the activities of the program through letter and NUREG reports.

(Milestone 1.1.A) All sections of the budget proposal document (189) for FY 2003 - FY 2005 were completed and submitted for signature approval. When final ORNL approval is obtained, the document will be forwarded to the NRC Program Monitor.

(Milestone 1.2.B) Discussions with the staff of the University of Michigan Ford Nuclear Reactor (FNR) continued concerning removal of specimens, return of control panels and disposal of irradiated waste. (See Task 6 for details).

The ORNL Non-Reactor Nuclear Facilities Division (NNFD) Business and Cost Model Working Group completed its work during this reporting period with the submission of a report to senior ORNL managers. The purpose of the working group was to develop a cost model and allocation system that will help the new hot cell division better track and control the cost of conducting nuclear research in specialized facilities and improve operational efficiency.

(Milestone 1.3.D) A target date of the week of October 13, 2003 for a site evaluation of the LV-15 test reactor at NRI in Rez, Czech Republic for a future HSSI Program irradiation site has been proposed to the US NRC. The LVR-15 is a 10 MW tank-type reactor that is operated typically at 8.8 MW for about 4500 hours per year. Questions still remain concerning how the facilities would be moved relative to the core, and how the specimen transfers would be accomplished.

The effort to arrange for a guest assignment of up to one year focusing on dynamic fracture toughness and sub-size specimen effects is continuing. However, contractual issues have been raised that have prevented the implementation of an acceptable arrangement between ORNL and the guest's home institution. A final decision as to whether to proceed with the arrangement is expected in September.

## **Task 2: Fracture-Toughness Transition Issue and Master-Curve Methodology** **(M. A. Sokolov)**

Fracture-toughness transition and Master Curve (MC) methodology will be broadly explored for pressure-vessel applications through a series of experiments, analyses, and evaluations in eight subtasks. Specifically, the effects of irradiation on fracture-toughness curve shape for highly embrittled RPV steels, dynamic effects, crack arrest, intergranular fracture, and subsized specimens will be explored; guidelines for the application of "surrogate" materials to the assessment of fracture toughness of RPV steels will be evaluated; and the fluence received in the HSSI irradiation experiments will be determined.

### Subtask 2.1: Fracture-Toughness Transition-Temperature Shifts (M. A. Sokolov)

The purpose of this subtask is to collect and statistically analyze pertinent fracture-toughness data to assess the shift and potential change in shape of the fracture-toughness curves due to neutron irradiation. The MC methodology will be applied to provide a statistical analysis of the fracture-toughness data and Charpy data will be fitted by hyperbolic tangent functions. The resulting reference fracture-toughness temperature,  $T_0$ , shifts will be compared with Charpy shifts determined by various indexing methods.

(Milestone 2.1.A) The report by M. A. Sokolov and R. K. Nanstad, *Comparison of Irradiation-Induced Shifts of  $K_{Jc}$  and Charpy Impact Toughness for Reactor Pressure Vessel Steels*, NUREG/CR-6609 (ORNL/TM-13755), was published by the NRC in November 2000. There was no significant progress during this reporting period. However, as they become available, additional data sets will be analyzed and a revised database assembled.

### Subtask 2.2: Irradiation Effects on Fracture-Toughness Curve Shape (M. A. Sokolov)

The purpose of this subtask is to evaluate the assumption of constant shape for the MC even for highly embrittled RPV steels. The evaluation will be performed through the testing of a pressure-vessel steel weld that has been irradiated to a neutron fluence sufficient to produce a fracture-toughness transition-temperature shift ( $T_0$ ) of about 150°C (270°F). A specially fabricated radiation-sensitive weld was selected to perform a pilot study on the ability of highly embrittled material to maintain the master curve shape. This weld had been fabricated and studied in Germany and supplied to ORNL by MPA, Stuttgart through a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). The capsules, loaded with 21 1T compact specimens and a larger number of smaller specimens of Weld KS-01, were irradiated to a target fluence of  $8.4 \times 10^{18}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> at the FNR during the first HSSI-IAR irradiation campaign. Evaluation of the MC shape will be determined with sufficient numbers of 1T compact specimens, 1T C(T), to allow for testing at three temperatures in the transition-temperature region. Additionally, 0.5T C(T), and pre-cracked Charpy V-notch (PCVN) specimens, using both quasi-static and dynamic methods, will be tested to investigate the use of more practical surveillance-size specimens. Tensile specimens will also be tested to determine the irradiation-induced hardening. Testing of irradiated specimens is dependent upon the availability of suitable hot-cell facilities. Evaluation of the mechanical properties of the unirradiated weld has been completed.

Specimens of the Midland beltline weld were fabricated and placed into the IAR facility at the FNR for irradiation to a fluence of at least  $2.5 \times 10^{19}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> (>1 MeV). This irradiation is being conducted to evaluate the assumption of constant shape for the master curve with highly embrittled low upper-shelf RPV steels that exhibit onset of stable ductile tearing at relatively low fracture toughness.

Irradiated high-nickel welds from the Palisades steam generator will also be examined. Not only will this material provide additional information on curve shape effects, but it will permit experimental validation of an assumption of linear relationship between Charpy 41J and fracture toughness shifts for highly-embrittled materials.

(Milestone 2.2.A) Irradiation of the Midland beltline weld and a high-nickel weld from the Palisades steam generator has been completed with shutdown of the University of Michigan FNR on July 3, 2003. Following an appropriate cooldown period, the specimens will be removed from the IAR facility and shipped to the ORNL hot cells for testing. Please also see Task 6.1. Some of the Palisades steam generator specimens irradiated to an intermediate fluence were removed previously and were received at the ORNL hot cells. These specimens were sorted and identified in preparation for testing, as discussed below.

Charpy impact tests of the Palisades unirradiated specimens and specimens irradiated to  $\approx 1.38 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$  ( $>1 \text{ MeV}$ ) were performed. The material exhibited a Charpy 41-J shift of  $101^\circ\text{C}$ . Using the assumed fluence, this is considerably less than the predicted shift of  $148^\circ\text{C}$  by *Regulatory Guide 1.99* (Rev. 2) and the predicted shift of  $137^\circ\text{C}$  by the Eason, Wright, Odette (EWO) equation. Both predictions are, of course, dependent on the chemical composition variables used. The chemical composition used was an average of values available for the weld. Additional analyses will be conducted with the tested specimens to verify those values and will be compared with subsequent results from testing of the specimens irradiated to a higher fluence when they become available.

One broken half of a tested CVN specimen was submitted for atom-probe needle preparation. The fracture surface was cut from the specimen, then a 0.5 mm thick slice was cut from the remainder of the specimen. That slice was then cut into 0.5 mm thick blanks with approximate dimensions of 0.5\_0.5\_10 mm. The blanks were stored in a lead pig and transported to the atom probe preparation facility. Atom probe needles were prepared and atom probe tomography (APT) was conducted. The APT examinations revealed copper-enriched precipitates also enriched with manganese and nickel. This result will be incorporated into a presentation on nickel effects to be made at the upcoming meeting of the International Group on Radiation Damage Mechanisms (IGRDM) in Pressure Vessel Steels.

(Milestone 2.2.C) As noted previously, 21 1T and six additional 0.5T compact specimens of the submerged-arc weld KS-01, irradiated to  $\sim 0.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$  ( $>1 \text{ MeV}$ ), were successfully tested. The results have been evaluated relative to the shape of the master curve. As reported previously, the occurrence of intergranular fracture in the test specimens was suspected to influence the deviation from MC shape observed. However, for a number of reasons also discussed previously, the contribution of IGF is not clear and the nonconformance of the KS-01 irradiated fracture toughness data may be predominantly due to the high degree of irradiation embrittlement. Further statistical analysis will be performed with these data. Three additional tensile tests, as noted previously, were expected to shed light on the effects of the intergranular fracture observations relative to expected irradiation-induced hardening. The yield and ultimate strengths for these tests exhibited the expected irradiation-induced strengthening, indicating that intergranular fracture was not the dominant fracture mechanism.

Testing of 12 irradiated precracked Charpy KS-01 specimens have been completed and the results are undergoing analysis. The draft NUREG report has completed technical review and incorporation of the reviewers comments, and will be submitted to the NRC for publication in October. The KS-01 results will also be included in a presentation on nickel effects at the IGRDM-11 meeting in San Diego in September.

Subtask 2.3: Dynamic Fracture Toughness [Combines previous subtasks 2.3 and 2.5]  
(R. K. Nanstad)

The purpose of this subtask is to evaluate the applicability of the master curve to dynamic fracture toughness of RPV steels. There are limited data available that suggest reasonable applicability of the master curve to such data; however, sufficient data under high-rate loading conditions for a reliable statistical assessment are not available. Previous plans within the HSSI Program included the evaluation of data from precracked Charpy specimens tested under impact conditions. Although the development of such techniques and resulting data are desirable, the first recommended step in evaluation of the master curve is high-rate loading of standard bend or compact specimens under non-impact conditions.

(Milestone 2.3.A) In preparation for the testing phase of this project, compact specimens (either 0.5T or 1.0T) will be machined from a material with a Master Curve pedigree, such as HSST Plate 02, HSST Plate 13A, or HSSI Welds 72W/73W, and tested at a rate consistent with the dynamic elastic-plastic fracture toughness annex in ASTM E-1820-2001. This will allow for a direct comparison between  $T_0$  from quasi-static and dynamic tests. As mentioned above, a variation of loading rates within the E-1921 allowable range will also be considered, an issue identified as a potential area of investigation in a proposed Cooperative Research Program at the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Additionally, a review of instrumented impact testing of precracked Charpy specimens will be conducted to assess the potential inclusion of that technique in the project.

Subtask 2.4 - Statistical Representation of Valid  $K_{Ic}$  Data for Irradiated RPV Steels  
(R. K. Nanstad and J. G. Merkle)

The purpose of this subtask is to develop a statistical representation of valid  $K_{Ic}$  data for irradiated RPV steels from available elastic-plastic fracture toughness data. In the estimation of failure probabilities for RPVs subjected to postulated pressurized thermal-shock loadings, it is necessary to employ realistic statistical representations of both flaw size and fracture toughness. The rationally based statistical model of weak-link behavior incorporated in ASTM Standard E1921 and available large-scale experimental fracture mechanics data, are the potential bases for developing an improved representation of the statistical behavior of valid  $K_{Ic}$  data, with the expectation that uncertainties will be less than those resulting from the present method.

(Milestone 2.4.A) The letter report, *Statistical Representation of Valid  $K_{Ic}$  Data for Irradiated RPV Steels*, by J. G. Merkle, M. A. Sokolov, R. K. Nanstad, and D. E. McCabe, previously submitted to the NRC Program Manager, was published and distributed in November. The concept described in this report is under consideration for inclusion in the "IAEA Guide on Master Curve Testing Results Application to Reactor Pressure Vessel Integrity Assessment", now under development in the IAEA Cooperative Research Project on Surveillance Results Application to Reactor Pressure Vessels.

Subtask 2.5 (formerly 2.10): Dosimetry and Fluence Analysis of the IAR Irradiation Capsules  
(C. A. Baldwin, I. Remec, and T. M. Rosseel)

The purpose of this task is to measure and analyze the dosimeters used during the HSSI-IAR irradiation campaigns and to obtain accurate fluence determinations.

(Milestone 2.5.A formerly 2.10.A) The set of four Fissionable Radiometric Dosimeters (FRDs), removed from the IAR facilities in April 2001, and shipped to counting lab, will be used to verify the fluence estimates for the high-Nickel specimens and re-irradiation specimens removed from the FNR in 2001.

(Milestone 2.5.B formerly 3.2.B – I. Remec and E. D. Blakeman) Final conversion of the LSL-M2 code package from DOS to Linux is expected during the final quarter of the fiscal year.

The report entitled, *Characterization of the Neutron Field in the HSSI/UCSB Irradiation Facility at the Ford Nuclear Reactor*, by I. Remec, E. D. Blakeman, and C. A. Baldwin, NUREG/CR-6646 (ORNL/TM-1999/140) was submitted to the NRC in September 1999.

Subtask 2.6: Intergranular Fracture (R. K. Nanstad and J. G. Merkle)

This subtask will address the issue of whether the MC technique can be applied to materials that experience brittle fracture by an intergranular mechanism. Specifically, it will be determined whether steels that experience intergranular fracture can be correctly characterized by the MC  $T_0$  temperature and whether the transition-curve shape can be changed by different fracture modes. Complete intergranular fracture from temper embrittlement occurs only at lower-shelf temperatures. As it is with transgranular cleavage, the transition to upper shelf is marked by an increased volume percentage of ductile rupture mixed with the lower-shelf, brittle-fracture mechanism. Since the onset of crack instability is most likely triggered in the brittle zones, the critical issue is understanding the influence of the triggering mechanism on the distribution of  $K_{Jc}$  values obtained. This information can be obtained on the lower shelf and, in part, into the transition range.

The proposed approach is to determine if there is an operational weakest-link effect when instability is triggered within an intergranular region. If an effect is observed, there should also be a measurable specimen-size effect on  $K_{Jc}$ . It will also be determined if the temper-embrittled materials exhibit a change in the J-R fracture toughness since such steels do not show a significant change in upper-shelf CVN energy.

The modified A302 grade B steel selected to evaluate intergranular-fracture effects on the universal MC shape assumption was specially heat treated to temper embrittle the material, and fracture-toughness testing was performed. In the analysis of the data, however, it became clear that additional testing was deemed necessary to allow for a more definitive conclusion regarding the relationship between the intergranular fracture results and the Master Curve. Additional 0.5 T C (T) specimens have been fabricated and testing is under way.

(Milestone 2.6.A) As noted previously all testing has been completed on this activity. A presentation and paper on this subject were prepared and delivered by R. K. Nanstad at the IAEA

Specialists' Meeting on Master Curve in Prague, Czech Republic, in September. The paper has now been published in the IAEA meeting proceedings: R. K. Nanstad, D. E. McCabe, and J. G. Merkle, "Relationship of Fracture Toughness From Intergranular Fracture to the Master Curve," *Master Curve Testing and Results Application, TWG-LMNPP-01/3*, pp. 123-137, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, 2002.

(Milestone 2.6.C) The letter report has been completed and was published in December as ORNL/NRC/LTR-00/03. The results of this project were also incorporated in a presentation at the NRC Workshop on Fracture Mechanics in Rockville on February 20-21 and in a paper presented by R. K. Nanstad at the NATO Advanced Research Workshop in Kiev, Ukraine, 21-25 April 2002. That paper will be published in a NATO Technical Series book following technical review. The paper is entitled "Applicability Of The Fracture Toughness Master Curve  $T_0$  Irradiated Highly Embrittled Steel And Intergranular Fracture" by R. K. Nanstad, M. A. Sokolov, and D. E. McCabe.

#### Subtask 2.7: Sub-sized Specimens (M. A. Sokolov)

The purpose of this subtask is to evaluate the applicability of the weakest-link theory-based size-adjustment procedure in the MC methodology to specimen sizes that are the most likely to be present in surveillance capsules. The MC methodology will be applied using precracked Charpy-size or smaller specimens to test the lower-size limit applicability. Testing will be performed at two or more temperatures with at least six specimens at each temperature. The exact number of temperatures and specimens will be determined following analysis of initial results. The testing of these subsize specimens will also satisfy the HSSI Program suggested testing matrix within the New Coordinated Research Program (CRP) of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Sub-sized specimens will be fabricated from previously characterized materials within the HSSI Program, such as HSST Plate 02, HSSI Welds 68W through 73W, the Midland beltline weld and plate JRQ.

(Milestone 2.7.A) As reported previously, the testing and analysis of specimens has been completed. These specimens were machined from three blocks of materials into 1TC(T) and precracked Charpy specimens for the size effect study. Two of the blocks are broken halves of 4TC(T) specimens of two A302B plates previously tested by the HSSI Program. The third block of material is the well-characterized Plate 13A. This study is specifically designed as an evaluation of the precracked Charpy specimen. However, a series of subsize specimens of JRQ steel has also been completed. The specimens are 0.2TC(T) and 0.4TC(T), and 5By□ mm and 5By□0 mm SE(B) specimens. A letter report is in preparation with completion anticipated in October.

#### Subtask 2.8: Quantification of Surrogate Materials for use in a Statistics-Based Fracture Toughness Assessment (R. K. Nanstad and J. G. Merkle)

The purpose of this subtask is to identify issues and make recommendations for the use of surrogate or non-identical materials in the assessment of fracture toughness of RPV steels. In many cases, surveillance programs for RPVs include specimens of a material that are not identical to the critical material in the RPV and test results from those surveillance specimens are used to represent the critical material in RPV analysis. This issue has been identified as an

overarching issue in that a more complete understanding of most other issues is needed in order to reduce the uncertainties associated with material variability.

(Milestone 2.8.A) Further review of data, both unirradiated and irradiated, is continuing, which will eventually result in the preparation a table of uncertainties that could be utilized for evaluating the application of surrogate materials.

As noted previously, a different methodology has been evaluated for potential application to this issue. The methodology involves a combination of nonlinear estimators including domain models, neural networks, vector space methods, and nearest neighbor regressions. The evaluation examined, in a very preliminary manner, whether the methodology appears applicable to the issue and whether it can be implemented in a relatively straightforward manner. This work, which has been completed by Jy-An Wang, was summarized in a previous progress report.

#### Subtask 2.9: Application of the Master Curve to Highly Embrittled Materials (M. A. Sokolov)

The purpose of this task is to determine the effect of the interaction of low-energy ductile crack initiation and intergranular fracture with cleavage in the transition region for highly embrittled materials. The approach used is to prepare up to twelve 0.4T C(T) specimens from previously irradiated and tested KS01 specimens and determine fracture toughness. These data will be compared with results from small and large compact specimens as well as pre-cracked Charpy specimens from the same material in the unirradiated and irradiated conditions.

(Milestone 2.9.A) Programming of the computer numerically controlled (CNC) milling machine system and the design of fixtures has been completed. Preparations for machining of the 0.4T compact specimens from the broken irradiated 1T compact specimens of KS-01 is under way with evaluation of the CNC machine operational status, design and fabrication of special fixtures for remote change-out of tools, remote lubrication of moving parts, etc. The machine has been exercised, various issues have been identified, and the issues are being resolved. A number of practice specimens have been machined and measured for comparison with established tolerances. This practice machining has identified a number of issues relevant to machining of compact specimens and the issues have been largely resolved, including the machining of new specimen holder fixtures from steel, as opposed to aluminum.

Following machining of the irradiated specimens, these 0.4T specimens will be tested in the same general temperature range as the 1Ts described in Task 2.2 to expand the database further for this material, and will provide for a comparison of compact specimen results with those from the similar size pre-cracked Charpy specimens. This comparison is directed at the bias indicated in some precracked Charpy data that results in somewhat lower determinations of  $T_0$  relative to compact specimens.

#### Subtask 2.10: Investigate the Bias Term Added to $T_0$ Values Determined from Pre-Cracked Charpy Specimens (R. K. Nanstad)

The purpose of this task is to perform a systematic study of the bias term added to  $T_0$  values determined from pre-cracked Charpy specimens, such as that used in the Kewaunee evaluation.

The approach would utilize both analytical and experimental methods. HSSI Plate 02 material will be used since extensive C(T) data on this material are available.

An additional activity of this subtask is verify the  $T_0$  value for HSSI weld 72W. The ASME Materials Properties Council conducted a round-robin testing program a few years ago with pre-cracked Charpy specimens of two RPV steels, one of which was HSSI Weld 72W. The specimens were machined from the "second batch" of weld 72W. The results showed a disparity in the  $T_0$  values of about 20° C between that determined from the pre-cracked Charpy specimens and that from the fracture-toughness data using the "first batch" of 72W. To accomplish this verification, twelve 1T compact tension specimens from the "second batch" of Weld 72W will be machined and tested so as to provide a comparable  $T_0$  value as obtained for the "first batch." The evaluation should also provide additional information regarding the overall comparison of master curve data from precracked Charpy specimens with larger specimens.

(Milestone 2.10.A) Planning for this task has continued. The experimental phase of the bias term project will tentatively include 1T three-point bend specimens, precracked Charpy specimens with and without side-grooves, Charpy thickness [10 mm (0.394 in.)] compact specimens, some with the standard ligament and some with half the standard ligament to provide a direct comparison with the precracked Charpy specimen. The issue of two different materials is also under consideration. HSST Plate 13A is one material of choice because of the extensive compact specimen-derived fracture toughness database available for that material. One important aspect of such testing is the number of specimens needed to provide a statistically reliable estimate of the difference in  $T_0$  for each specimen geometry. There are various methods for such a calculation that will not be discussed here. However, if one desires to know  $T_0$  within  $\pm 10^\circ\text{C}$  ( $\pm 2$  standard deviations) using the equation provided in E1921, the number of specimens needed is 13. If a two standard deviations variation of  $5^\circ\text{C}$  is desired, the number of specimens needed is 52. Thus, statistical considerations will play a strong role in the test matrix. The draft will be discussed with appropriate and interested reviewers outside of ORNL. R. K. Nanstad visited SCK-CEN, Mol, Belgium, in September and discussed this issue with Eric Van Walle and his staff. Additionally, presentations and discussions from the ASTM E-08 Workshop on Constraint are being evaluated relative to planning of the experimental and analytical needs. A literature review is in progress, including a review of linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) results that may provide information regarding specimen configuration differences observed as the result of specimen fractures under predominantly elastic loading. The use of T-stress based constraint adjustments have received considerable discussions within the technical community and a comparison of such LEFM data may shed light on that specific subject.

A block of HSSI Weld 72W (second batch) was located, drawings were prepared, and 13 1T compact specimens were machined, fatigue precracked and tested without side-grooves, the same condition as in the HSSI Fifth Irradiation Program. Specimens were tested at  $-80$ ,  $-50$ , and  $-30^\circ\text{C}$ . Using the multi-temperature equation in E-1921, the  $T_0$  for this group of specimens from the "second batch" is  $-53.4^\circ\text{C}$ . This compares with a  $T_0$  from the "first batch" of  $-56.6^\circ\text{C}$ . The overall  $T_0$  for the combined database is  $-54^\circ\text{C}$ . Thus, the results indicate no significant difference between the first and second batches. Therefore, the  $T_0$  result of  $-75^\circ\text{C}$  from the MPC round robin program with PCVN specimens points to a difference of  $21^\circ\text{C}$  between the ITC(T) and PCVN specimen for HSSI Weld 72W. A letter report, "Comparison of Fracture Toughness

Reference Temperatures for the First and Second Batches of HSSI Weld 72W,” by R. K. Nanstad, is in preparation with the draft report to be completed in September.

### **Task 3: Irradiation Embrittlement of RPV Steel (R. K. Nanstad)**

The purpose of this task is to examine two important issues affecting the application of thermal mitigation procedures to irradiated RPVs. The first will address the effects of temper embrittlement on the coarse-grained HAZ in RPV steels. The second will examine the effects of reirradiation on  $K_{Jc}$  and  $K_{Ja}$  in order to evaluate the relative changes in the recovery and reembrittlement between CVN and fracture-toughness properties and a detailed examination of reembrittlement rates. These questions will be addressed in-part using specimens supplied by the Swiss HSK and PSI.

#### **Subtask 3.1: HAZ Embrittlement (M. A. Sokolov and R. K. Nanstad)**

The purpose of this subtask is to determine the susceptibility of RPV heat affected zones (HAZ) to irradiation/thermal aging-induced temper embrittlement. Research conducted to date by ORNL and AEA-Technology on temper embrittlement of the coarse-grain materials in HAZs of RPV steel multi-pass welds has revealed the potential for such embrittlement under some conditions. AEA-Technology discovered that using high-temperature austenitization to produce very coarse grains, followed by thermal aging resulted in large transition-temperature shifts. Further, post-irradiation thermal annealing of such material resulted in an even greater increase of the transition temperature. Subsequent research at ORNL under the previous HSSI Programs used five commercial RPV steels to investigate potential temper embrittlement. Since the amount of intergranular fracture observed was unexpected, further studies are required to resolve the issue.

The first phase of this project simulated the AEA-Technology heat treatment and observed large transition-temperature shifts, although not as large as those from AEA-Technology. The second phase of the ORNL study used the same five RPV steels, but used the Gleeble system (an electrical-resistance heating device) to produce material deemed representative of the coarse-grain region in RPV welds. These materials revealed very high toughness in the initial condition (i.e., from the Gleeble). After thermal aging at about 454°C for 168 hours the materials exhibited only modest transition temperature increases, however, after aging at the same temperature for 2000 hours, significant transition temperature increases were observed. Of course, 2000 hours is much in excess of the time that RPV steels would be exposed to mitigation cycles, but potential synergistic effects of irradiation and thermal aging are unknown. Moreover, questions also remain regarding other time-temperature effects, such as post-irradiation mitigation at somewhat lower or higher temperatures.

(Milestone 3.1.A) The letter report by R. K. Nanstad, D. E. McCabe, M. A. Sokolov, C. A. English, and S. R. Ortner, *Comparison of Effects of Thermal Aging, Irradiation, and Thermal Annealing on Propensity for Temper Embrittlement on an RPV Submerged-Arc Weld HAZ*, ORNL/NRC/LTR-01/07, was published and distributed.

(Milestone 3.1.B) As noted previously, to investigate the effect of cooling rate following postweld heat treatment, additional material would be treated in the Gleeble system to simulate the coarse-grain HAZ as accomplished previously. This would then be followed by thermal aging, as well as by irradiation and post-irradiation thermal annealing. The A302 grade B (modified) steel in the previous study (designation Z-7) has a phosphorus content of 0.07 wt %. A second steel, A302 grade B (modified) with phosphorus content of 0.14 wt % (designation Z-5) is also included in this study for comparison. Excess material of each heat from the original investigation was identified and Gleeble specimens [(rods of about 75 mm (3 in.) long and 14.3mm (0.562in.) in diameter] were machined.

Gleeble treatment was performed with the same procedure used for the previous study and has now been completed for all the specimens. Following Gleeble treatment, the Gleeble specimens were postweld heat treated at 615°C (1140°F) for 24h, then furnace cooled at ~15°C/h. Charpy and tensile specimens were machined from the Gleeble specimens, notched and some were fatigue precracked for testing as precracked Charpy (PCVN) specimens. A total of 50 CVN (20 of Z-5 and 30 of Z-7), 20 PCVN (all of Z-7), and 16 tensile (4 of Z-5 and 12 of Z-7) specimens were packaged in two specially-fabricated steel boxes and were inserted into the IAR facility at the Ford Reactor in January.

The irradiation of these specimens was completed on 3 July with shutdown of the FNR. Following an appropriate cool-down period, the specimens will be removed from the IAR facility and shipped to the ORNL hot cells. At that time, a decision will be made regarding testing and thermal annealing of these specimens dependent on the neutron fluence achieved in the IAR. Consideration is also being given to reirradiation of the remaining specimens from the initial series, dependent on availability of an irradiation facility. Gleeble treatments and postweld heat treatments have been completed for the remaining specimens that will be tested in the unirradiated and thermally aged conditions. Machining of those specimens has now been completed and Charpy impact testing of the unirradiated specimens has been completed. Test temperatures and aging times for the thermal aging experiments have been selected and thermal aging will begin in September.

#### Subtask 3.2 (formerly 3.3): Evaluation of Reirradiated JRQ Specimens (R. K. Nanstad, E. T. Mannes Schmidt, and T. M. Rosseel)

The purpose of this subtask is to examine the fracture-toughness behavior of a model steel that has been irradiated, tempered, and re-irradiated. The specimens, which were fabricated from a heat of A533 grade B class 1 steel identified as JRQ, were prepared by the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) as part of the IAEA CRP 3. This steel has been used for various studies sponsored by the IAEA and is under consideration as a reference material for various other RPV studies, including surveillance programs. This subtask is collaboratively conducted under a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between ORNL and PSI. Charpy impact, pre-cracked Charpy, and tensile specimens are available in the irradiated, and in the irradiated/annealed/re-irradiated conditions. Testing of irradiated specimens is dependent upon the availability of suitable hot-cell facilities.

(Milestone 3.2.A formerly 3.3.A) A total of 46 Charpy V-notch impact specimens were previously tested and the results were presented in a previous progress report. A presentation on this work, to include previous work by PSI, was presented by R. K. Nanstad at the International

Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) Specialists' Meeting on Radiation Embrittlement and Mitigation in Gloucester, U.K., 14 -17 May 2001. The presentation was co-authored by P. Tipping (Swiss HSK), G. Waeber (PSI), and Kalkhof (PSI). A previous progress report graphically showed the results.

As reported previously, the four remaining Charpy impact specimens in the irradiated condition were tested and the data reanalyzed. A number of other specimens in the two irradiation/annealed/reirradiated (IAR) conditions were thermally annealed at 460°C for either 18h (to duplicate previous PSI experiments) or 168 h and tested to provide data for the material in the IARA condition. Additionally, some of the IAR specimens were thermally annealed and will be reinserted into the HSSI irradiation facility for further reirradiation to provide results in the IARAR condition. A presentation of this work was made by R. K. Nanstad at the 10<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the International Group on Radiation Damage Mechanisms (IGRDM) in Pressure Vessel Steels, 19-24 May 2002 in Awaji Island, Japan.

During June of 2002, the precracked Charpy specimens were tested in the irradiated condition, in the irradiated/annealed condition, and in two different IAR conditions. A presentation on the preliminary results from this work was made by R. K. Nanstad at the ASTM Symposium on Radiation Effects in Materials in Tucson, Arizona, in June. Photographs of specimen fracture surfaces have been made, crack lengths have been measured, and final analyses have been completed. During the hot cell testing, it was discovered that many of the specimens have relatively shallow flaws, with a/W ratios of about 0.3. Results from these specimens do not, of course, satisfy the requirements of E 1921 for valid fracture toughness data. There are some results in the literature indicating no significant effect of a/W ratios as low as 0.3 and this will be further pursued. Thus, the data will be evaluated giving consideration to the potential loss of constraint on the results. An initial comparison of the test results in the irradiated and the irradiated/annealed conditions, both of which included specimens with both short and long cracks, provided mixed results. In one case, the average  $K_{Ic}$  values were about the same, while in the other case they were substantially different. Moreover, neither comparison is based on a statistically strong database in that the subsets comprise only 4 or 5 test results. A paper has been submitted to ASTM for review and publication in the STP for the Tucson meeting; the paper was reviewed by the two co-authors from Switzerland as well: "Irradiation and Post-Annealing Reirradiation Effects on Fracture Toughness of RPV Steel Heat JRQ," by R. K. Nanstad, P. Tipping, and R. D. Kalkhof. Additionally, R. K. Nanstad will make a presentation of the fracture toughness test results and the TEP Seebeck Coefficient results at the IGRDM-11 meeting in San Diego in September.

A work package was prepared for cutting of pieces of selected JRQ specimens to be submitted for atom-probe examination. The same work package includes packaging and transport of selected irradiated specimens to PSI for their thermoelectric power (TEP) testing. The selected specimens were retrieved from the storage cans and identified. They were packaged and are scheduled for shipment to PSI in early September.

R. K. Nanstad visited PSI during the last week of July to discuss the various aspects and progress of the collaborative project that is conducted under a Memorandum of Agreement between ORNL and PSI. He met with Dr. Dietmar Kalkhof, the cognizant scientist for the PSI portion of the project, and Dr. Niffenegger of PSI, the researcher responsible for conduct and analysis of

the TEP experiments. They have completed TEP measurements with both unirradiated and irradiated CVN specimens that had been previously tested at PSI. Comparison of the results with the Charpy impact results indicates the probability of a reasonable correlation between results from the two tests. Nanstad and Kalkhof discussed and agreed on the selection of broken CVN and PCVN specimens for shipment to PSI for additional TEP testing. One specimen from each group representing a specific irradiated condition) will also be sectioned for atom probe tomography at ORNL. A joint NUREG report and technical papers will be prepared following completion of all the experiments and analyses. A foreign trip report is in preparation.

#### **Task 4: Validation of Irradiated and Aged Materials (R. K. Nanstad)**

The purpose of this task is to validate the assessment of the effects of neutron irradiation on the fracture-toughness properties of typical RPV materials obtained in the previous HSSI (L1098) Program, Tasks 2 and 3 of this program, and retired RPVs. This will be accomplished through the examination of the effects of neutron irradiation on the fracture toughness (ductile and brittle) of the HAZ of welds and of typical plate materials used in RPVs. The irradiated materials from retired RPVs will be machined and tested in the Irradiated Materials Examination and Testing (IMET) hot cells. The aging of stainless steel welds will also be explored in this task. Other issues to be address include foreign interactions and technical assistance to the NRC.

##### **Subtask 4.1: (formerly 4.3) Toughness Changes in Aged Stainless Steel Welds (R. K. Nanstad)**

The purpose of this subtask is to evaluate the effects of irradiation on fracture-toughness testing of irradiated stainless-steel weld-overlay cladding specimens at 288°C. This will complete the testing of the matrix from the HSSI (L1098) 7th Irradiation Series. The PCVN specimens were irradiated in HSSI Capsule 10.06.

(Milestone 4.1.B formerly 4.3.B) The report, *The Effect of Aging at 343°C on the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Type 308 Stainless Steel Weldments*, by D. □. □ Alexander, K. B. Alexander, M. K. Miller, and R. K. Nanstad, NUREG/CR-6628 (ORNL/TM-13767), was published in November 2000.

##### **Subtask 4.2: (formerly 4.4) Foreign Interactions (R. K. Nanstad)**

The purpose of this subtask is to provide technical support and continued collaboration for a number of cooperative relationships with foreign institutions in the area of radiation effects on RPV steels. Collaborative relationships may be developed during the course of this program and will be developed with the cognizance of NRC. Current relationships are:

1. Cooperation with SCK-CEN in Belgium regarding the supply of well-characterized materials and comparison of test results, including dynamic PCVN testing for development of RPV testing standards.
2. Collaboration with AEA-Technology in the United Kingdom regarding fracture toughness testing and temper embrittlement of RPV HAZs.

3. Collaboration with institutes in the Czech Republic, Germany and Finland on fracture toughness with small specimens in support of MC evaluations.
4. Collaboration with PSI in Switzerland on evaluation of reirradiation effects.
5. Information and data exchange with all of the above and other countries, especially regarding RPV surveillance data and comparisons of fracture toughness and Charpy impact data.
6. Participation, including membership on the Executive Committee, in the International Group on Radiation Damage Mechanisms (IGRDM).
7. Participation in two coordinated research programs (CRPs) sponsored by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), informally designated CRP-5 and CRP-6. These CRPs will investigate: the use of PCVN specimens to determine fracture toughness of RPV steels, and effects of nickel on irradiation-induced embrittlement of RPV steels, respectively.
8. Collaboration with NRI, Rez (Czech Republic) in the area of microstructural evolution in RPV steels as a consequence of reirradiation.
9. Collaboration with MPA-Stuttgart in Germany regarding applicability of the master curve to highly embrittled RPV steels.
10. Collaboration with researchers at the University of Lille, France, in the area of primary radiation damage simulation.

(Milestone 4.2.A, formerly 4.4.B) The 11<sup>th</sup> meeting of the International Group on Radiation Damage Mechanisms (IGRDM) is now being planned for 10-16 September, 2003 in San Diego, California. R. K. Nanstad, as secretary of the IGRDM, has updated the membership list, prepared a revision of the charter, and is working with the local host for the meeting (R.G. Carter of the Electric Power Research Institute) and the IGRDM Chairman (T.J. Williams of Rolls-Royce, U.K.) to organize the meeting. Presentation titles from the HSSI Program have been submitted by M. K. Miller, R. K. Nanstad, M. A. Sokolov, and R. E. Stoller.

R. K. Nanstad attended the meeting of the IAEA Cooperative Research Program "Mechanisms of Nickel Content on Irradiation Embrittlement of RPV Steels," in Pamporovo, Bulgaria, 2-4 December 2002. He made a presentation entitled "Comparison of Nickel Effects on Embrittlement Mechanisms in Prototypic WWER-1000 and A533B Steels," by Randy K. Nanstad, Mikhail A. Sokolov, Michael K. Miller, and G. Robert Odette. The presentation included a summary of HSSI Program activities in the area of nickel effects, the test results and atom-probe analysis results of the KS-01 weld, preliminary results of testing of the irradiated [to  $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{19}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> (>1 MeV)] high-nickel submerged-arc weld from the Palisades steam generator, and the Charpy impact test results for the CRP-supplied high-nickel forging and high-nickel weld (VVER-1000) in the unirradiated condition. The VVER-1000 specimens inserted in the IAR facility in April 2001 were removed in January at the behest of the CRP and

transported to ORNL for testing as soon as practicable. A trip report, ORNL/FTR-164584, has been submitted.

The irradiated VVER-1000 Charpy impact specimens were tested in the ORNL hot cells in May. Twelve specimens each of a base metal (forging) and a weld were tested at various temperatures, with lateral expansion measurements and photographs of fracture surfaces made subsequent to testing. The forging contains about 1.2 wt% nickel, while the weld metal contains about 1.7 wt% nickel. The results have been analyzed and are in preparation for transmittal to the IAEA CRP committee members for inclusion in the committee database for those two materials. Following submittal of these data, the committee will complete the final analysis of all the data on the two materials and a final report for the CRP will be prepared.

Slices of the VVER-1000 steels were cut in the ORNL hot cells, put in lead pigs, and shipped to another facility within the Metals and Ceramics Division for cutting of atom probe blanks. The blanks will be cut in September and submitted for atom probe needle preparation and atom probe tomography analysis.

R. K. Nanstad attended the meeting of the IAEA CRP “Surveillance Programmes Results Application to Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) Integrity Assessment,” and an IAEA consultancy meeting on development of a new CRP on “Bias Terms for Master Curve application,” in Vienna, Austria, 24-28 February 2003. R. K. Nanstad is an author of a chapter on “Fluence Projection and Attenuation” for the IAEA guidelines document on application of the fracture toughness master curve, now in preparation. A trip report, ORNL/FTR-167446, has been prepared and submitted.

R. K. Nanstad visited PSI for discussions regarding the ORNL/PSI collaboration on irradiation/annealing/reirradiation of RPV steel. See 3.2 for details.

R. K. Nanstad attended a consultancy meeting at the IAEA in Vienna, Austria from 31 July-4 August. The meeting was held to edit and complete the IAEA Technical Report Series (TRS), “Neutron Irradiation Embrittlement of RPV Steels.” The book has languished with the death of the previous chief editor (Myrddin Davies) earlier in the year. Also, the former IAEA Technical Secretary responsible for the book, V. Lyssakov, completed his term in April and was replaced as Technical Secretary by Dr. Ki-Sig Kang. Dr. Kang setup and coordinated the consultancy meeting in Vienna. R. K. Nanstad is the author of Chapter 3, “Irradiation Effects on Mechanical Properties,” of the TRS and is also one of the three editors, including Mr. William Server of ATI Consulting in the U.S. and Dr. Milan Brumovsky of the Nuclear Research Institute in Rez, Czech Republic. All the chapters have now been written and edited with the exception of Chapter 4, “Radiation Damage Mechanisms,” primarily authored by Dr. Jean-Claude Van Duysen of EDF in France. Dr. Van Duysen will submit his chapter during the first half of August. The meeting in Vienna was very productive in that significant progress was made towards publication of the TRS. Such progress would not have happened without such a meeting.

Subtask 4.3: (formerly 4.5) Technical Assistance (R. K. Nanstad and M. A. Sokolov)

The purpose of this subtask is to provide special analytical, experimental, and administrative support to the NRC in resolving various regulatory issues related to irradiation effects. Specific

activities will be identified, on an as-needed basis, by the NRC Project Manager. Examples of such activities include: 1) evaluation of the effects of post-weld heat treatment (PWHT) on the copper solubility and fracture toughness of unirradiated RPV steels and 2) machining of material removed from retired irradiated RPVs for evaluation of through-thickness attenuation of irradiation embrittlement.

(Milestone 4.3.B formerly 4.5.F) Testing of the irradiated subsized Charpy specimens in the ORNL hot cells has been completed. A letter report will be prepared following completion of all testing and evaluation. A paper, for which M. K. Miller was the lead author, including the unirradiated Charpy results and the atom probe tomography results, was presented at the Tenth International Conference on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems - Water Reactors, August 8-9, 2001, in Lake Tahoe, Nevada. R. K. Nanstad attended the meeting and presented the paper. Additionally, a paper by M. K. Miller, S. S. Babu, M. A. Sokolov, R. K. Nanstad, and S. K. Iskander, "Effect of Stress Relief Temperature and Cooling Rate on Pressure Vessel Steel Welds," *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 327, 76-79 (2002) was published.

(Milestone 4.3.C formerly 2.5.A) The draft NUREG report, *Detailed Results of Testing Unirradiated and Irradiated Crack-Arrest Toughness Specimens from the Low Upper-Shelf Energy, High Copper Weld, WF-70*, by S. K. Iskander, C. A. Baldwin, D. W. Heatherly, D. E. McCabe, I. Remec, and R. L. Swain, NUREG/CR-6621 (ORNL/TM-13764), is finished, but completion of the final report and submission to the NRC for publication was delayed due to personnel reductions. The report, which has been prepared by the subcontractor, Dr. Shafiq Iskander is undergoing technical review.

(Milestone 4.3.D formerly 3.2.C) Irradiated, annealed, and reirradiated specimens of HSSI Weld 73W were reinserted into the IAR facility at the FNR to accumulate additional fluence. The results obtained from tests of some of the reirradiated specimens showed a much lower transition temperature shift than expected. The target total fluence for the final group of specimens which was about  $4 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$  was obtained. Dosimeters associated with the experiment are waiting to be counted and analyzed (see Task 2.5). The specimens will be scheduled for testing at the ORNL hot cells in the latter part of 2003.

(Milestone 4.3.E formerly 4.1.2.B) The NUREG report (ORNL/TM-2000/343), *Attenuation of Charpy Impact Toughness Through the Thickness of a JPDR Pressure Vessel Weldment*, by S. K. Iskander, J. T. Hutton, L. E. Creech, M. Suzuki, K. Onizawa, E. T. Manneschildt, R. K. Nanstad, T. M. Rosseel, and P. S. Bishop, was submitted to the NRC in January 2001 as part of an Office of Research Operational Milestone.

(Milestone 4.3.F) As part of the NRC evaluation of control rod drive mechanism housing materials, the HSSI Program was asked to perform special tensile testing of Inconel 182 weld metal and three other materials in collaboration with Battelle Columbus Laboratories (BCL) and Engineering Mechanics Corporation (ECM) of Columbus. The Inconel 182 weldment was supplied by BCL. The weld metal was solution annealed by ORNL at 1900°F for 30 minutes and air cooled, followed by machining into 0.25-in.-diam tensile specimens. Tensile tests have been conducted at five temperatures: room temperature, 600, 1000, 1400, and 1800°F.

For the Inconel 182 weld metal, the A508 class 3 steels, and the A516 grade 70 steel, all the tests have been completed and the digital stress-strain curves sent to BCL and EMC. The A508 and A516 steels were tested in the as-received condition and were conducted at the same five test temperatures indicated above. No A508 class 2 steel was available at the time, and a suggestion was made to BCL and ECM to consider normalizing the A508 class 3 results to high temperature tensile results for A508 class 2 in an EPRI Report, a copy of which was sent to BCL.

(Milestone 4.3.G, formerly 4.2.A) The NUREG report, K. Onizawa, E. van Walle, W. Pavinich, and R. K. Nanstad, UT-Battelle, LLC, Oak Ridge, Tenn., *Results and Analysis of The ASTM Round Robin On Reconstitution*, USNRC NUREG/CR-6777 (ORNL/TM-2001/34), was published in August.

Subtask 4.4: Obtaining RPV material for SONGS-1 (R. K. Nanstad, R. E. Stoller, and T. M. Rosseel)

The purpose of this subtask is to obtain Southern California Edison's, the owner of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) Unit 1 Reactor, consent and assistance in obtaining trepans from the SONGS-1 RPV and to provide a preliminary estimate of the potential problems that could be encountered during this operation as well as a preliminary estimate of the cost to obtain the trepans. This effort, which would permit the evaluation of through-thickness attenuation of irradiation embrittlement of a service-irradiated RPV, will be coordinated with EPRI. A letter report will also be prepared that describes the progress and status of that effort.

(Milestone 4.4.A) The letter report by R. E. Stoller and R. K. Nanstad, "A Proposal for Sampling the SONGS-1 Reactor Pressure Vessel," (ORNL/NRC/LTR-02/12), which incorporates the conceptual study of the scope and cost estimate to remove up to six, five-inch-diameter through-wall trepan samples from the San Onofre (SONGS) Unit 1 pressure vessel, was issued in final form in February 2002. This activity is complete.

**Task 5: Modeling & Microstructural Characterization and Embrittlement Data Base**  
(T. M. Rosseel)

This task shall determine the microstructural basis for radiation-induced property changes in RPV materials to aid in understanding and applying the experimental results obtained in Tasks 2 through 4. The three subtasks will comprise: (1) theoretical modeling and data analysis; (2) experimental investigations; and, (3) maintaining and updating the Embrittlement Data Base (EDB). The modeling work will include the development of an improved description of primary-damage formation in irradiated materials, and the further development and use of predictive models of radiation-induced microstructural evolution and its impact on the mechanical behavior of RPV materials. The experimental component will focus on detailed microstructural characterization of RPV materials in relevant conditions, including long-term, thermally-aged and high-fluence irradiated materials. The information obtained from the experiments and microstructural characterization will be used to support validation of the theoretical models. Further model verification will be carried out through use of the mechanical property data contained in the EDB, and data generated in other experiments coordinated by this task. Updated versions of the EDB will be issued as appropriate.

The major areas of inquiry include: (a) the effects of chemical composition; (b) the role of displacement rate (neutron flux); (c) damage attenuation through the RPV wall; and, (d) potential new hardening mechanisms and embrittlement behavior at very high fluence. The overall goal of the task is to provide an embrittlement model that can be used in a predictive way to anticipate the response of RPV materials at high fluences near or slightly beyond their nominal end-of-life, and to provide support to the NRC for related safety or licensing questions. The tools developed in this task will also be used to support the analysis of experimental results obtained in other program tasks. Both the modeling and experimental research will be coordinated with complementary activities carried out by other NRC contractors and the international community.

The nature of the modeling and data analysis carried out under this task requires that it extend over the lifetime of the program. Model development and validation is coordinated with the experimental activities in an iterative fashion. Work and milestone schedules will be contingent on available funding.

#### Subtask 5.1: Modeling of Damage Evolution (R. E. Stoller)

The modeling of damage evolution will focus on the development of an integrated microstructural model that includes components developed at ORNL and by other NRC contractors and will provide the basis of an improved embrittlement model. The integrated model may include thermodynamic components to account for chemical effects that may be particularly important at high-fluence and in low-copper steels. A more detailed treatment of point defect and solute clustering will also be pursued.

(Milestone 5.1.B) The NUREG report entitled *Evaluation of Neutron Energy Spectrum Effects Based on Primary Damage Simulations in Iron*, NUREG/CR-6643, (ORNL/TM-1999/334) was submitted to the NRC in July 2000.

#### Subtask 5.2: Microstructural Characterization (M. K. Miller and K. F. Russell)

APFIM characterization will be used to determine whether additional radiation-induced phases are forming. In addition, the methods of APFIM, SANS, and field-emission scanning transmission electron microscopy (FEGSTEM) have been used to determine the matrix copper content and the chemical composition of radiation-induced precipitates in RPV materials. Although there is qualitative agreement between the three methods, some significant inconsistencies exist. Comparisons among the techniques will be performed so as to resolve the apparent inconsistencies.

The Atom Probe will be used to study of Mn and high-nickel in the evolution of late blooming phases. Model alloys will be examined using Atom Probe Tomography (APT) and compare the results to those obtained by University of California-Santa Barbara (UCSB), using small angle neutron scattering (SANS) methods to determine the influence of manganese on the thermal stability of supersaturated iron-copper alloys. Palisades high-nickel steel specimens that have been irradiated to a fluence of  $1.6 \times 10^{19}$  will also be examined. Since Ni and Mn-rich phases in low Cu steels may contribute to hardening and embrittlement at high fluences, these evaluation are critical to developing an understanding of the so-called late blooming phases that may appear at long irradiation times.

(Milestone 5.2.A) Using the new Local Electrode Atom Probe (LEAP), data from the Palisades and from the OV series of Fe-Cu-Ni-Mn model alloys were obtained during this reporting period. Both sets of data will be presented at IGRDM XI in San Diego and described in the next report.

Subtask 5.3: Modeling and Embrittlement Data Base (formerly 7.1) (J.-A. Wang)

This subtask was, until March 1, 1999, part of the Embrittlement DataBase (EDB) and Dosimetry Evaluation Program, JCN: 6164. The objectives of the subtask have been reduced but the focus remains the same. Nuclear radiation embrittlement information from radiation embrittlement research on nuclear RPV steels and from power-reactor surveillance reports will be maintained in a database to be published on a periodic basis. The information will assist the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation and the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research to effectively monitor current procedures and data bases used by vendors, utilities, and service laboratories in the pressure vessel irradiation surveillance program. The specific activity of the subtask is to maintain and update the EDB. Additional work on statistical analysis of toughness databases will also be performed. The purpose of this effort is to design a new data fitting procedure to generate a new multi-space trend surface that can properly reflect the inhomogeneity of the surveillance materials, and utilize this multi-space trend surface to link and to project the surveillance test results to that of reactor pressure vessel steels.

(Milestone 5.3.A) During this reporting period, a comparison of the new EPRI Database with that of Eason's May 2000 calibration set and PR-EDB Update\_12 was made. The quality assurance process, in collaboration with Eason, included identifying and establishing the HEAT\_ID codes for the new EPRI data, based on the heat codes developed for PR EDB, and verifying the data consistency for individual heats.

Most of the HEAT\_ID codes were not provided in the EPRI new database. Thus, these EPRI's heat-number had to be matched with the HEAT\_ID codes in the PR EDB by using the manufacturer's heat identifier and/or the chemistry of the HEAT\_ID listed in PR\_EDB. The HEAT\_ID codes are used to find all of the entries for a given heat in the database, including both data available in the May 2000 calibration set and the EPRI new database, in order to check for data consistency and duplicates, and to sort out the surveillance data listed in the May 2000 calibration set that are not currently registered in PR-EDB yet.

The HEAT\_ID of 28 new EPRI BWR data (out of 60 new BWR data) can not be identified from PR-EDB. There is also some uncertainty regarding the manufacturing heat number and chemistry compositions of the other 32 identified HEAT\_ID that need to be resolved using the new surveillance reports.

The HEAT\_ID of 7 new EPRI PWR data (out of 43 new PWR data) cannot be identified. There is also some uncertainty regarding chemistry variability for 36 identified HEAT\_ID, which requires validation from the new surveillance reports.

The following surveillance data are listed in May 2000 calibration set but not available in current PR-EDB. Eason has agreed to provide the detailed titles for this data. Upon receiving this information, verification of May 2000 data will be completed.

| <u>Plant id</u> | <u>Capsule</u> | <u>Heat id</u>         |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| BF2             | 30D            | PBF2JW, WBF2JW         |
| CH1             | 30D            | PCH1JW                 |
| COF             | 3D             | PCOFJW, WCOFJW         |
| HA2             | 30D            | PHA2JW, WHA2JW         |
| HOP             | 30D            | PHOPJW, WHOPJW         |
| KU1             | 177D           | PKU1JW, WKU1JW         |
| KU2             | 3D             | PKU2JW, WKU2JW         |
| LS1             | 300D           | PLS1JW, WLS1JW         |
| LS2             | 300D           | WLS2JW                 |
| PV1             | W137           | PPV101, WPV101         |
| TM2             | T1             | WTM2J1, WTM2J2, WTM2J3 |
| SU2             | W1             | WSU201                 |

#### **Task 6: Test Reactor Irradiation Coordination (K. R. Thoms)**

This task provides the support required to supply and co-ordinate irradiation services needed by NRC contractors (such as the UCSB and the ORNL HSSI Program) at the University of Michigan FNR. These services include the design and assembly of irradiation facilities (and/or capsules), as well as arranging for their exposure, periodic monitoring by remote computer access and interaction with the FNR staff, and return of specimens to the originating research organization.

##### **Subtask 6.1: Operate the HSSI Irradiation (IAR) Facility (K. R Thoms and D. W. Heatherly)**

With the fabrication, installation, and initial testing of the HSSI IAR facility at the University of Michigan FNR completed as part of the previous (L1098) HSSI program, the activities associated with the new program include supervising the irradiation of the reusable irradiation capsules in the dual-capsule irradiation facility at FNR. A NUREG report on the design, assembly, installation, and operation of the HSSI IAR facility will be prepared.

(Milestone 6.1.A) As described previously, the FNR was permanently shut down as planned on July 3, 2003, terminating all materials irradiation experiments. The HSSI Program is currently evaluating other reactor sites for performing RPV steel irradiation experiments.

During this reporting period, all of the irradiated specimens in the IAR-1 and IAR-2 facilities were removed and placed in dry storage in the FNR hot cell. The facilities were shut down and the instrumentation controlling them was shut off. The facilities are currently suspended at the side of the FNR pool. All operating records, specimen-transfer records, and videotapes of specimen transfers have been forwarded to ORNL. Meetings are being scheduled with ORNL instrument personnel to discuss the best methods for disconnecting the IAR controlling and monitoring instrumentation. The instrumentation will be delivered to ORNL for slight

modification for use at another reactor site as soon as a suitable site for materials irradiation experiments has been identified.

The specimens stored in the FNR hot cell will be allowed to decay for a period of time. FNR staff is monitoring the activity of the irradiated specimens to determine the decay rate and schedule a suitable time for shipping the specimens to ORNL. The staff at ORNL are looking at specimen shipping options and hope to receive all remaining irradiated specimens from FNR in two or possibly three shipping casks. One shipment will be required if all the specimens can be shipped in two casks. If three casks are needed to contain all the specimens then two separate shipments will be necessary due to the limited availability of shipping casks.

(Milestone 6.1.B) The NUREG report, D. W. Heatherly, K. R. Thoms, M. T. Hurst and G. E. Giles, UT-Battelle, LLC, Oak Ridge, Tenn., *Heavy-Section Steel Irradiation Program's Reusable Irradiation Facility*, USNRC NUREG/CR-6779, (ORNL/TM-2002/77) was submitted to the NRC in March 2002.

(Milestone 6.1.D) Planning was begun for a site visit and evaluation of the LV-15 reactor at the Nuclear Research Institute (NRI), Rez, Czech Republic. This proposed visit was included in the FY 2004 HSSI foreign trip request.

#### Subtask 6.2: Operate the HSSI/UCSB Irradiation Facility (K. R. Thoms and D. W. Heatherly)

This subtask includes supervising the overall operation and providing assistance to the reactor personnel in the routine operation and maintenance of the HSSI-UCSB irradiation facility. A NUREG report on the design, assembly, installation, and operation of the UCSB facility will be prepared.

(Milestone 6.2.A) As described previously, the FNR was permanently shut down as planned on July 3, 2003, terminating all materials irradiation experiments.

During this reporting period, all of the irradiated specimens in the HSSI-UCSB facility were removed and placed in dry storage in the FNR hot cell. The facility was shut down and the instrumentation controlling it was shut off. The facility is currently suspended at the side of the FNR pool. All operating records, specimen-transfer records, and videotapes of specimen transfers have been forwarded to ORNL. Meetings are being scheduled with ORNL instrument personnel to discuss the best methods for disconnecting the HSSI-UCSB controlling and monitoring instrumentation. The instrumentation will be delivered to ORNL for slight modification for use at another reactor site as soon as a suitable site for materials irradiation experiments has been identified.

The specimens stored in the FNR hot cell will be allowed to decay for a period of time. FNR staff is monitoring the activity of the irradiated specimens to determine the decay rate and schedule a suitable time for shipping the specimens. The staff at UCSB will work with the staff at FNR to ensure that all irradiated specimens are eventually shipped to the experimenters at UCSB.

### **3. MEETINGS AND TRIPS:**

On July 27-August 5, R. K. Nanstad traveled to the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) in Villigen, Switzerland, to meet with PSI researchers regarding testing and evaluation of irradiation/annealing/reirradiation effects on reactor pressure vessel steels. He also traveled to the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in Vienna, Austria, and attended an IAEA Editorial Board meeting regarding preparation of a report on "Irradiation Embrittlement of Reactor Pressure Vessels."

### **4. PRESENTATIONS, REPORTS, PAPERS, AND PUBLICATIONS:**

None

### **5. PROPERTY ACQUIRED:**

Items listed in this section include all nonconsumable project purchases that were actually paid for during this reporting period. They do not include either accruals or accrual reversals and hence may not accurately reflect total material procurement charges within this period.

| <b>Item</b> | <b>Cost (\$)</b> |
|-------------|------------------|
|-------------|------------------|

None

### **6. PROBLEM AREAS:**

None

### **7. PLANS FOR THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD:**

The plans for the next reporting period are described in Section 2.

FINANCIAL STATUS  
for W6953

Reporting Period: 7/28/03-8/24/03

|   | Current<br>Month | Fiscal Year<br>to Date | Cumulative<br>Project to date |
|---|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| I. Direct Staff Effort                          | 3 MM             | 4.6 MY                 | 47.2 MY                       |
| II. A. Direct Lab Staff Effort (\$)             |                  |                        |                               |
| Direct Salaries                                 | 53,222           | 1,136,397              | 5,817,295                     |
| Materials and Services                          | 4,316            | 79,772                 | 521,102                       |
| ADP Support                                     | 0                | 479                    | 3,021                         |
| Subcontracts                                    | 7,378            | 248,881                | 1,053,662                     |
| Travel  | 7,641            | 32,414                 | 212,188                       |
| Other: NRC-PO Tax                               | 6,893            | 62,410                 | 311,766                       |
| General and Administrative                      | 30,743           | 601,551                | 2,773,998                     |
| <br>Total UT-Battelle Costs                     | <br>110,193      | <br>2,161,904          | <br>10,693,032                |
| B. DOE Federal Admin. Costs                     | 3,306            | 64,857                 | 159,085                       |
| <br>TOTAL PROJECT COSTS                         | <br>113,499      | <br>2,226,761          | <br>10,852,117                |
| Percentage of available cumulative funds costed |                  | 93                     |                               |
| Percentage of available current FY funds costed |                  | 74                     |                               |
| Funds Remaining                                 |                  | 797,883                |                               |
| Commitments:                                    |                  | 114,048                |                               |
| BA Remaining                                    |                  | 683,835                |                               |
| BA Remaining Less Projected FAC                 |                  | 660,596                |                               |


III. Funding Status

| Prior FY<br>Carryover | FY 03 Projected<br>Funding Level | FY 03 Funds<br>Received to Date | FY 03 Funding<br>Balance Needed | Cumulative<br>Amt. Obligated | Cumulative<br>Amt. Costed |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1,188,971             | 2,000,000                        | 1,800,000                       | 200,000                         | 11,650,000                   | 10,852,117                |

Comments: The Federal Administration Charge of 3% is applied to monthly costs.




|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 1. Program Management</b>  | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/28/2003 - 8/24/2003</b> | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                  |
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003 - 2006</b>         | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>W41 W5 85 3W 1</b> |
|   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>            | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>        |



|                     |              |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|---------------------|--------------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ACCRUED COSTS (\$K) | PLANNED      | 24 | 28 | 23 | 26  | 30  | 25  | 22  | 22  | 18  | 24  | 25  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | ACTUAL       | 30 | 32 | 24 | 24  | 25  | 25  | 28  | 22  | 20  | 32  | 17  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | EARNED       | 29 | 22 | 16 | 26  | 25  | 29  | 26  | 25  | 40  | 44  | 29  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | CUM. PLANNED | 24 | 52 | 75 | 101 | 131 | 156 | 178 | 200 | 218 | 242 | 267 | 267 | 267 | 267 | 267 |
|                     | CUM. ACTUAL  | 30 | 62 | 86 | 110 | 135 | 160 | 188 | 210 | 230 | 262 | 279 | 279 | 279 | 279 | 279 |
|                     | CUM. EARNED  | 29 | 51 | 67 | 93  | 118 | 147 | 173 | 198 | 238 | 282 | 311 | 311 | 311 | 311 | 311 |

11. REMARKS  
Total/Planned Cost reflects reduction in funds received due to FAC.


|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 2. Fracture Toughness Transition and MC Methodology</b>                  | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/28/2003 - 8/24/2003</b> | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                  |
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003 - 2006</b>         | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>W41 W5 85 3W 1</b> |
|   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>            | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>        |



|                     |              |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|---------------------|--------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ACCRUED COSTS (\$K) | PLANNED      | 54 | 62  | 61  | 64  | 89  | 86  | 85  | 70  | 84  | 87  | 67  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | ACTUAL       | 39 | 51  | 52  | 65  | 77  | 142 | 131 | 41  | 72  | 85  | 29  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | EARNED       | 19 | 16  | 46  | 67  | 59  | 54  | 89  | 36  | 62  | 93  | 51  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | CUM. PLANNED | 54 | 116 | 177 | 241 | 330 | 416 | 501 | 571 | 655 | 742 | 809 | 809 | 809 | 809 | 809 |
|                     | CUM. ACTUAL  | 39 | 90  | 142 | 207 | 284 | 426 | 557 | 598 | 670 | 755 | 784 | 784 | 784 | 784 | 784 |
| CUM. EARNED         | 19           | 35 | 81  | 148 | 207 | 261 | 350 | 386 | 448 | 541 | 592 | 592 | 592 | 592 | 592 |     |

11. REMARKS


|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 3. Irradiation Embrittlement of RPV Steel</b>                            | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/28/2003 - 8/24/2003</b> | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                  |
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003 - 2006</b>         | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>W41 W5 85 3W 1</b> |
|   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>            | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>        |



|                     |              |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|---------------------|--------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ACCRUED COSTS (\$K) | PLANNED      | 39 | 65  | 88  | 57  | 34  | 30  | 60  | 45  | 45  | 40  | 30  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | ACTUAL       | 1  | 15  | 40  | 157 | 77  | 51  | 40  | 8   | -7  | 9   | 12  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | EARNED       | 51 | 53  | 55  | 61  | 35  | 44  | 40  | 37  | 44  | 55  | 33  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | CUM. PLANNED | 39 | 104 | 192 | 249 | 283 | 313 | 373 | 418 | 463 | 503 | 533 | 533 | 533 | 533 | 533 |
|                     | CUM. ACTUAL  | 1  | 16  | 56  | 213 | 290 | 341 | 381 | 389 | 382 | 391 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 |
|                     | CUM. EARNED  | 51 | 104 | 159 | 220 | 255 | 299 | 339 | 376 | 420 | 475 | 508 | 508 | 508 | 508 |     |

11. REMARKS  
Total/Planned Cost reflects reduction in funds received due to FAC.


|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 4. Validation of Irradiated and Aged Materials</b>                       | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/28/2003 - 8/24/2003</b> | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                  |
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003 - 2006</b>         | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>W41 W5 85 3W 1</b> |
|   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>            | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>        |



|                     |              |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|---------------------|--------------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ACCRUED COSTS (\$K) | PLANNED      | 28 | 37 | 21 | 44  | 27  | 11  | 7   | 7   | 20  | 28  | 28  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | ACTUAL       | 27 | 27 | 38 | 36  | 33  | 8   | 24  | 61  | 23  | 22  | 30  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | EARNED       | 21 | 39 | 17 | 39  | 34  | 12  | 18  | 45  | 18  | 21  | 19  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | CUM. PLANNED | 28 | 65 | 86 | 130 | 157 | 168 | 175 | 182 | 202 | 230 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 |
|                     | CUM. ACTUAL  | 27 | 54 | 92 | 128 | 161 | 169 | 193 | 254 | 277 | 299 | 329 | 329 | 329 | 329 | 329 |
|                     | CUM. EARNED  | 21 | 60 | 77 | 116 | 150 | 162 | 180 | 225 | 243 | 264 | 283 | 283 | 283 | 283 | 283 |

11. REMARKS  
Total/Planned Cost reflects reduction in funds received due to FAC.


|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 5. Modeling and Microstructural Analysis</b>                             | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/28/2003 - 8/24/2003</b> | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                  |
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003 - 2006</b>         | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>W41 W5 85 3W 1</b> |
|   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>            | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>        |



|                     |              |    |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|---------------------|--------------|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ACCRUED COSTS (\$K) | PLANNED      | 25 | 35 | 30 | 2  | 10  | 10  | 10  | 10  | 5   | 15  | 30  |     |     |     |     |     |
|                     | ACTUAL       | 35 | 31 | 22 | 2  | 12  | 10  | 12  | 6   | 7   | 4   | 13  |     |     |     |     |     |
|                     | EARNED       | 23 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 4   | 23  | 10  | 7   | 8   | 5   | 13  |     |     |     |     |     |
|                     | CUM. PLANNED | 25 | 60 | 90 | 92 | 102 | 112 | 122 | 132 | 137 | 152 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 |
|                     | CUM. ACTUAL  | 35 | 66 | 88 | 90 | 102 | 112 | 124 | 130 | 137 | 141 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 |
|                     | CUM. EARNED  | 23 | 43 | 64 | 83 | 87  | 110 | 120 | 127 | 135 | 140 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 |     |

11. REMARKS  
Total/Planned Cost reflects reduction in funds received due to FAC.




|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 6. Irradiation Coordination</b>  | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/28/2003 - 8/24/2003</b> | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                  |
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003 - 2006</b>         | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>W41 W5 85 3W 1</b> |
|   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>            | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>        |



|                     |              |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|---------------------|--------------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ACCRUED COSTS (\$K) | PLANNED      | 25 | 20 | 19 | 42  | 28  | 16  | 16  | 14  | 16  | 14  | 14  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | ACTUAL       | 13 | 16 | 22 | 28  | 17  | 13  | 19  | 40  | 16  | 18  | 9   |     |     |     |     |
|                     | EARNED       | 17 | 19 | 24 | 32  | 22  | 21  | 19  | 26  | 19  | 16  | 13  |     |     |     |     |
|                     | CUM. PLANNED | 25 | 45 | 64 | 106 | 134 | 150 | 166 | 180 | 196 | 210 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 224 |
|                     | CUM. ACTUAL  | 13 | 29 | 51 | 79  | 96  | 109 | 128 | 168 | 184 | 202 | 211 | 211 | 211 | 211 | 211 |
|                     | CUM. EARNED  | 17 | 36 | 60 | 92  | 114 | 135 | 154 | 180 | 199 | 215 | 228 | 228 | 228 | 228 | 228 |

11. REMARKS  
Total/Planned Cost reflects reduction in funds received due to FAC.

### Milestone Symbology

|   |                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|
|    | Intermediate milestone planned   |
|    | Intermediate milestone completed |
|    | Major milestone planned          |
|    | Major milestone completed        |
|    | Rescheduled milestone planned    |
|  | Rescheduled milestone completed  |

n = number of calendar-year month in which milestone was rescheduled



| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 2. Fracture Toughness Transition &amp; MC Methodology</b>                |  | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/27/2003 - 8/24/2003</b>          |   | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> |  | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003-2005</b>                    |   | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>41 W6 95 3W 1</b> |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |  | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>                     |   | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 9. MILESTONE IDEN. NO.  | 10. MILESTONE DESCRIPTION  | FY 2002  |   |  |   |   | FY 2003                                       |   |   |   |   | FY 2004 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |  | O  | N | D  | J | F | M   | A | M | J | J | A       | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J |
| 2.1.A.  | Continue to accumulate data on Comparison of CVN and Fracture Toughness Shifts | [Gantt bar spanning from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2003] |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.2.A.  | Irradiate Midland and Hi-Ni Specimens  | [Gantt bar spanning from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2003] |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.2.B.  | Receive Specimens  | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2002 to mid-July]                |   |  |   |   | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2003 to mid-July] |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.2.C.  | Test Unirradiated & Irradiated KSØ1 for Master Curve                           |  |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.2.D.  | Test Unirradiated & Irradiated Hi-Ni Midland Weld Specimens                    | [Gantt bar from mid-July to end of FY 2002]                  |   |  |   |   | [Gantt bar from mid-July to end of FY 2003]   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.2.E.  | Draft Letter and NUREG Report for KSØ1   | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2002 to mid-July]                |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.2.F.  | Draft Letter and NUREG Report for Midland Weld                                 |  |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.2.G.  | Draft Letter and NUREG Report for High Ni                                      |  |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |  | O  | N | D  | J | F | M   | A | M | J | J | A       | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J |
|   |  | FY 2002  |   |  |   |   | FY 2003                                       |   |   |   |   | FY 2004 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 11. REMARKS   |  |  |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |



| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 2. Fracture Toughness Transition &amp; MC Methodology</b>                |   | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/27/2003 - 8/24/2003</b>   |   | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                 |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> |   | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003-2005</b>   |   | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>41 W6 95 3W 1</b> |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>  |   | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>       |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 9. MILESTONE<br>IDEN. NO.   | 10. MILESTONE DESCRIPTION   | FY 2002   |   |  |   |   | FY 2003 |   |   |   |   | FY 2004 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   | O   | N | D  | J | F | M       | A | M | J | J | A       | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J |
| 2.7.A.  | Complete Testing of Subsize Specimens   | [Gantt bar spanning from start of FY 2003 to end of FY 2003]  |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.7.B.  | Testing of JRQ Plate  | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2002, with diamond marker '10' at end]                |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.7.C.  | Complete Letter Report on Results of Subsize Specimen Fracture Toughness Tests        | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2002, with diamond marker '10' at end]                |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.8.A.1   | Complete Assembly and Compilation for Irradiated Materials for Surrogate Materials DB | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2002, with diamond marker '10' at end]                |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.8.A.2   | Complete Statistical Analysis of Data Base for Irradiated Materials                   | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2003, with diamond marker '10' at end]                |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.8.B.  | Submit NUREG Report   | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2002, with diamond marker '1' at end]                 |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.9.A.  | Develop Machining Procedures  | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2002, with diamond marker '8' at end]                 |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2.9.B.  | Machine Specimens   | [Gantt bar from start of FY 2003 to end of FY 2003, with diamond markers '12', '2', and '4' at end] |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   | O   | N | D  | J | F | M       | A | M | J | J | A       | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J |
|   |   | FY 2002   |   |  |   |   | FY 2003 |   |   |   |   | FY 2004 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 11. REMARKS   |   |   |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |





| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 4. Validation of Irradiated and Aged Materials</b>                       |   | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/27/2003 - 8/24/2003</b> |                | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                 |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------|---|-----------------|---|----------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|----------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> |   | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003-2005</b>           |                | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>41 W6 95 3W 1</b> |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>            |                | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>       |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 9. MILESTONE IDEN. NO.  | 10. MILESTONE DESCRIPTION                             | FY 2002   |                |  |   |                 | FY 2003                        |   |   |   |   | FY 2004 |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   | O   | N              | D  | J | F               | M                              | A | M | J | J | A       | S | O               | N | D              | J              | F | M | A | M | J              | J              |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.1.A.  | Toughness Tests on SS Cladding                        | [Bar from start to mid-May]                         |                |  |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.1.B.  | Letter Report   |   |                |  |   |                 | [Bar from mid-May to mid-July] |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.2.A.  | Participate in Periodic Meetings of IGRDM             | [Bar from start to mid-July]                        |                |  |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.2.B.  | Complete Progress Reports of Collaboration Activities | [Bar from start to mid-August]                      |                |  |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.3.A.  | Letter Report on PWHT and Copper                      | [Bar from start to mid-July]                        |                |  |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.3.C.  | Complete Crack Arrest NUREG Report                    | ▽   |                |  |   | ◇ <sup>10</sup> |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   | ◇ <sup>11</sup> |   | ◇ <sup>2</sup> | ◇ <sup>4</sup> |   |   |   |   | ◇ <sup>4</sup> | ◇ <sup>5</sup> |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.3.D.  | Complete Letter Report on IA of 73W                   |   |                |  | ▽ |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   | ◇ <sup>1</sup> |                |   |   |   |   |                | ◇ <sup>4</sup> |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.3.E.  | NUREG Report on JPDR Attenuation Effect               |   |                |  |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.3.F.  | CRDM Tensile Tests                                    |   |                |  |   |                 | [Bar from mid-May to mid-July] |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4.4   | Letter Report on SONGS-1 Acquisition                  | ▽   | ◇ <sup>1</sup> | ◇ <sup>12</sup>                            |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   | O   | N              | D  | J | F               | M                              | A | M | J | J | A       | S | O               | N | D              | J              | F | M | A | M | J              | J              | A | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J |
|   |   | FY 2002   |                |  |   |                 | FY 2003                        |   |   |   |   | FY 2004 |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 11. REMARKS   |   |   |                |  |   |                 |                                |   |   |   |   |         |   |                 |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

|   |   |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 5. Modeling &amp; Microstructural Analysis</b>                           |   | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/27/2003 - 8/24/2003</b> |  | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                 |  |
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> |   | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003-2005</b>           |  | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>41 W6 95 3W 1</b> |  |
|   |   | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>            |  | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>       |  |
| 9. MILESTONE IDEN. NO.  | 10. MILESTONE DESCRIPTION   | FY 2002<br>O N D J F M A M J J A S                  |  | FY 2003<br>O N D J F M A M J J A S         |  |
|   |   | FY 2004<br>O N D J F M A M J J                      |  |  |  |
| 5.1.A.  | Development and Predictive use of Embrittlement Model               |   |  |  |  |
| 5.2.A.  | Coordinate and Analyze APFIM/SANS/FEGSTEM Round Robin Experiment    |   |  |  |  |
| 5.2.B.  | APFIM Characterization  | [Bar from Oct 2002 to Jun 2003]                     |  |  |  |
| 5.2.C.  | APT of Late Blooming Phases   | [Bar from Aug 2002 to Dec 2002]                     |  |  |  |
| 5.2.D.  | Prepare Draft Report  | [Bar from Feb 2003 to Apr 2003]                     |  |  |  |
| 5.3.A.1   | Evaluate and Input Surveillance Reports into Embrittlement Database | [Bar from Aug 2002 to Jun 2003]                     |  | [Diamond 4]                                |  |
| 5.3.A.2   | Complete Update 12  | [Bar from Apr 2002 to Aug 2002]                     |  | [Diamond 8], [Diamond 10]                  |  |
| 5.3.B.  | Database Modeling Studies   | [Bar from Oct 2001 to Feb 2002]                     |  | [Diamond 9], [Diamond 11], [Diamond 12]    |  |
| 5.4.  | Administration of Task Activities                                   | [Bar from Oct 2001 to Jun 2003]                     |  |  |  |
|   |   | O N D J F M A M J J A S                             |  | O N D J F M A M J J A S                    |  |
|   |   | FY 2002   |  | FY 2003                                    |  |
|   |   |   |  | FY 2004                                    |  |
| 11. REMARKS   |   |   |  |  |  |

| 1. CONTRACT REPORTING ELEMENT<br><b>HSSI - 6. Irradiation Coordination</b>  |  | 2. REPORTING PERIOD<br><b>7/27/2003 - 8/24/2003</b>          |   | 3. JCN NO.<br><b>W6953</b>                 |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. CONTRACTOR (NAME AND ADDRESS)<br><b>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY<br/>P. O. BOX 2008<br/>OAK RIDGE, TN 37831</b> |  | 5. CONTRACT PERIOD<br><b>FY 2003-2005</b>                    |   | 6. ACTIVITY NUMBER<br><b>41 W6 95 3W 1</b> |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |  | 7. NRC B&R NO.<br><b>860 15 21 20 05</b>                     |   | 8. DOE B&R NO.<br><b>40 10 01 06</b>       |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 9. MILESTONE IDEN. NO.  | 10. MILESTONE DESCRIPTION  | FY 2002  |   |  |   |   | FY 2003 |   |   |   |   | FY 2004 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |  | O  | N | D  | J | F | M       | A | M | J | J | A       | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J |   |   |
| 6.1.A.  | Coordinate the Operation, Data Collection, and Maintenance of the HSSI IAR Facility            | [Gantt bar spanning from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2003] |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 6.1.B.  | Comprehensive Report on Reusable Irradiation Facilities and Report on Facility Options         | [Gantt bar spanning from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2002] |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 6.1.C.  | Spare Parts for Irradiation Facilities   | [Gantt bar spanning from start of FY 2003 to end of FY 2003] |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 6.2.A.  | Coordinate the Operation, Data Collection, and Maintenance of the UCSB Irrad. Facility         | [Gantt bar spanning from start of FY 2002 to end of FY 2003] |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 6.3.A.  | Investigate alternativae irradiation Facilities for continuation of program after FNR shutdown | [Gantt bar spanning from start of FY 2003 to end of FY 2003] |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |  | O  | N | D  | J | F | M       | A | M | J | J | A       | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | O | N | D | J | F | M | A | M | J | J |
|   |  | FY 2002  |   |  |   |   | FY 2003 |   |   |   |   | FY 2004 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 11. REMARKS   |  |  |   |  |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |