

# ABERRATION-CORRECTED STEM: TOWARDS THE ULTIMATE RESOLUTION

S. J. Pennycook<sup>1</sup>, A. R. Lupini<sup>1</sup>, O. L. Krivanek<sup>2</sup>, N. Dellby<sup>2</sup> and P. D. Nellist<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Solid State Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6030  
USA

<sup>2</sup> Nion Co., 1102 8th St., Kirkland, WA 98033, USA

Aberration correction on the STEM offers the potential to reach the fundamental quantum-mechanical limit for resolution in zone-axis crystals. In free space, plane waves are good quantum mechanical stationary states to describe the propagation of an electron, but not in a zone axis crystal. Here the electron must take on the periodicity of the crystal and it propagates as Bloch states. The fundamental resolution limit is therefore the smallest Bloch state, the 1s state. These states are the most deeply bound in the potential well of a column, are typically 0.5 – 0.8 Å in diameter. This is comparable to the probe sizes predicted after correction of aberrations.

In phase contrast microscopy, imaging with 1s Bloch states can be achieved by selecting a sample thickness in which the contribution of the 1s state to the exit face wave function is maximized. This occurs at a thickness of  $\lambda/4$ , where  $\lambda$  is the extinction distance. But this thickness is different for columns of different composition; at thicknesses greater than  $\lambda/4$ , the column reverses contrast. In Z-contrast imaging it is the detector that provides the filtering. The inner detector angle is increased until only the most localized states contribute to the intensity. The large angular integration ensures transverse incoherence, while phonon scattering ensures longitudinal incoherence. It has long been recognized that the 1s states were the dominant contribution to the image, and their non-dispersive nature responsible for the incoherent nature of the image<sup>1</sup>. However, detector geometry was not included explicitly, and it has recently been shown that the detector provides almost perfect Bloch state filtering<sup>2</sup>. The 1s state is responsible for the image contrast even when the 2s state is more highly excited. As the probe size is reduced in size, eventually the Z-contrast image will become a direct image of the 1s Bloch states.

For EELS, there has been much discussion on delocalization, that inner shell excitation could be achieved from a point charge passing at a distance. Classical expressions for the impact parameter are velocity-dependent, but quantum mechanical predictions are not. Furthermore, use of the dipole approximation is invalid in the present context. For atomic resolution EELS, large acceptance angles are necessary and we are interested in the response at large distance. Thus we cannot expand  $e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$  by  $1 + i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}$ . Doing so suggests significant delocalization<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 1) but this is not seen with the full calculation<sup>4</sup>. In this case the ultimate resolution for a single atom is very close to the geometric size of the inner shell (Fig. 2). Delocalization is negligible. For zone axis crystals the ultimate resolution is again the 1s Bloch state.

Recent results from a VG Microscopes HB501UX STEM fitted with a Nion aberration corrector are shown in Fig. 3. The Si dumbbells are resolved with a {400} spot seen in the Fourier transform showing transfer to 1.36 Å, quite comparable to the performance of the uncorrected HB603U 300 kV STEM. This microscope has already demonstrated sub-Ångström information transfer<sup>6</sup> and installation of an aberration corrector is expected to give sub-Ångström probes with minimal tails for enhanced image contrast, signal to noise ratio and single atom spectroscopy<sup>7</sup>.




Fig. 1. Spatial distribution of EELS intensity for an infinitesimal probe comparing the dipole expansion to the full calculation.




Fig. 2. Full width half maximum of the EELS object function compared to the diameter of the inner shell. Calculations use the hydrogenic model of Maslen and Rossouw<sup>5</sup>.




Figure 3. Z-contrast images of silicon “dumbbells” taken in a 100 kV VG STEM (a) before and (b) after the correction of spherical aberration.

#### References

1. Pennycook, S. J., and Jesson, D. E., (1990) *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **64**, 938, and (1991) *Ultramicroscopy*, **37**, 14.
2. Nellist, P. D., and Pennycook, S. J., (1999) *Ultramicroscopy*, **78**, 111.
3. Muller, D. A., and Silcox, J., (1995) *Ultramicroscopy*, **59**, 195.
4. Rafferty B., and Pennycook, S. J., (1999) *Ultramicroscopy*, **78**, 141.
5. Maslen V. W., and Rossouw, C. J., (1984) *Philos. Mag. A*, **49**, 735.
6. Nellist, P. D., and Pennycook, S. J., (1998) *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **81**, 4156.
7. This work was supported by the USDOE under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 managed by UT-Battelle, LLC.