

An Energy Frontier Research Center Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center,

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

## Li-ion energy storage of 2D "MXene" transition metal carbides

Paul R. C. Kent Oak Ridge National Laboratory

http://www.ornl.gov/~pk7





Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences as part of an Energy Frontier Research Center

## **Acknowledgements**

#### Oak Ridge National Laboratory (theory, INS)

Yu Xie

Alexander I. Kolesnikov

#### **Drexel University** (synthesis, XRD, electrochemical)

Michael Naguib Vadym N. Mochalin Yury Gogotsi Michel W. Barsoum

#### **Brookhaven National Laboratory** (XAS)

Xiqian Yu Kyung-Wan Nam Xiao-Qing Yang

#### Computer time: NERSC

Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center,

Oak Ridge National Laboratory



- Background of MAX phases & synthesis of MXenes
- Potential applications
- Key questions
- Methods
- Results
  - Surface structure
  - Surface reactions
  - Li storage capacity
- Conclusions

## **MAX Phases**

- MAX Phases are ternary metal carbides and/or nitrides
- Layered hexagonal structure (P6<sub>3</sub>/mmc)
- Composition of

$$M_{n+1}AX_{n}$$
; with  $n = 1, 2, 3...$ 



• > 60 phases identified • Considering solid solutions,  $(Ti_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})_2AIC$ ,  $Ti_3AI(C_{0.5}N_{0.5})_2$ there will be 211

413

312

211 Phases	312 Phases	413 Phases	
Ti <sub>2</sub> CdC, Sc <sub>2</sub> InC, Ti <sub>2</sub> AlC, Ti <sub>2</sub> GaC, Ti <sub>2</sub> InC, Ti <sub>2</sub> TIC,	Ti <sub>3</sub> AIC <sub>2</sub> ,	Ti <sub>4</sub> AIN <sub>3</sub> ,	
V <sub>2</sub> AIC, V <sub>2</sub> GaC, Cr <sub>2</sub> GaC, Ti <sub>2</sub> AIN, Ti <sub>2</sub> GaN, Ti <sub>2</sub> InN,	V <sub>3</sub> AIC <sub>2</sub> ,	V <sub>4</sub> AIC <sub>3</sub> ,	S
V <sub>2</sub> GaN, Cr <sub>2</sub> GaN, Ti <sub>2</sub> GeC, Ti <sub>2</sub> SnC, Ti <sub>2</sub> PbC, V <sub>2</sub> GeC,	Ti <sub>3</sub> SiC <sub>2</sub> ,	Ti <sub>4</sub> GaC <sub>3</sub> ,	1
$Cr_2AIC$ , $Cr_2GeC$ , $V_2PC$ , $V_2AsC$ , $Ti_2SC$ , $Zr_2InC$ ,	Ti <sub>3</sub> GeC <sub>2</sub> ,	Ti <sub>4</sub> SiC <sub>3</sub> ,	(
$Zr_2TIC$ , Nb <sub>2</sub> AIC, Nb <sub>2</sub> GaC, Nb <sub>2</sub> InC, Mo <sub>2</sub> GaC, $Zr_2InN$ ,	Ti <sub>3</sub> SnC <sub>2</sub> ,	Ti <sub>4</sub> GeC <sub>3</sub> ,	-
$Zr_2IIN$ , $Zr_2SnC$ , $Zr_2PbC$ , $Nb_2SnC$ , $Nb_2PC$ , $Nb_2AsC$ ,	Ta <sub>3</sub> AIC <sub>2</sub> ,	Nb <sub>4</sub> AIC <sub>3</sub> ,	'
Zr <sub>2</sub> SC, Nb <sub>2</sub> SC, Hf <sub>2</sub> InC, Hf <sub>2</sub> TIC, Ta <sub>2</sub> AlC, Ta <sub>2</sub> GaC,		Ta <sub>4</sub> AIC <sub>3</sub> ,	+
Hf <sub>2</sub> SnC, Hf <sub>2</sub> PbC, Hf <sub>2</sub> SnN, Hf <sub>2</sub> SC			

Barsoum, M.W. *Progress in Solid State Chemistry* 28 (2000) 201; **many more!** Eklund, P., et al. Thin Solid Films 518(2010) 1851-1878

## **MAX Phases**

Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center,

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

# Blocks of "MX" separated by "A" layer

Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center,

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

• "A" layer is *relatively* weakly bonded compared to the "MX"



## **Synthesis of MXenes**

#### MAX Phase (Ti<sub>3</sub>AlC<sub>2</sub>)



MAX phase particles samples synthesized



Chemical exfoliation of A-group element (AI) yielded stacked MXene (Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>)

Intercalation

#### Intercalated MXene



Weakening inter-layer Intercalation van der Waals bonds, between the layers increasing c-LP of MXene

Sonication

#### Single 2D MXene Sheets





Weak sonication brakes inter-layer bonds



- Synthesized MXene flakes (f-MXene) contain many MXene layers which can be further separated into delaminated MXene (d-MXene) with few MXene layers (<10)</li>
- d-MXenes have higher surface areas than f-MXenes
- MXene surfaces are terminated by incomplete or mixed F, O/OH functional groups.

M. Naguib, et al. Adv. Mater. 23, 4248 (2011)

O. Mashtalir, et al. Nature Comm. 4, 1716 (2013)

## **Preparation of MXene sheets**

# $Ti_3AlC_2 + HF 50\%$ for 2 hours at room followed by SONICATION:

**TEM shows 2D Sheets** 



#### EDS shows: Ti, C, O, F

Michael Naguib, et al. Advanced Materials 23 (2011) 4248-4253

## **Numerous MAX phases** successfully exfoliated to MXenes





From Ta<sub>4</sub>AlC<sub>3</sub>



Michael Naguib, et al. ACS Nano 6 (2012) 1322-1331





#### Michael Naguib, et al. ACS Nano 6 (2012) 1322-1331



M. Naguib, V.N. Mochalin, M.W. Barsoum, Y. Gogotsi, "MXenes: A New Family of Two-Dimensional Materials", *Advanced Materials*, **26**, 992-1005 (2014)

#### 10.1002/adma.201304138

#### **MXene electronic structure**

Y. Xie and P. R. C. Kent, "Hybrid density functional study of structural and electronic properties of functionalized Tin +1Xn (X=C, N) monolayers"

PRB 87 235441 (2013)

Web of Science search tip Topic search for MXene\*

#### **MXenes as Li-ion battery anodes**



Li capacities of currently measured MXenes  $f-V_2C$  260 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> at 1 C  $f-Nb_2C$  170 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> at 1 C  $f-Ti_2C$  110 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> at 1 C  $f-Ti_3C_2$  100 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> at 1 C  $d-Ti_3C_2$  410 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> at 1 C Theoretical max Li capacity of graphite is 372 mAhg<sup>-1</sup>, but graphite is far from ideal.

O. Mashtalir, et al. Nature Comm. 4, 1716 (2013)

M. Naguib, et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 15966 (2013)

## **Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> as supercapacitor electrode**



The capacitance is 73 F/g (190 F/cm<sup>3</sup>) for KOH. The capacitance is 130 F/g (340 F/cm<sup>3</sup>) for KOH.

M. Lukatskaya, et al. Science 341, 1502 (2013)

Comparison:

60 - 100 F/cm<sup>3</sup> for active graphene

180 F/cm<sup>3</sup> for micrometer-thin carbide-derived carbon.

#### MXenes are promising energy storage materials, even at this early stage



- 1. Understand the origin of the Li capacity in MXenes To predict the best MXene and processing for specific applications
- 2. Explain why Ti-based f-MXenes have lower Li capacity compared to other MXenes? (counterintuitive) e.g. f-Ti2C 110 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> vs f-Nb2C 170 mAhg<sup>-1</sup>
- 3. Explain why d-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> has a much higher Li capacity than f-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>

## **Methods**

- Computational details
   VASP with PAW potential
   PBE and vDW-DF (optB86) functional
   Packmol for water and HF solution
   AIMD simulations for 15 ps
   Nudged elastic band method for transition state searching
- Experiments
   X-ray diffraction (f-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, f-Nb<sub>2</sub>C)
   High temperature annealing (f-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, f-Nb<sub>2</sub>C)
   Inelastic neutron scattering (f-Nb<sub>2</sub>C)
   X-ray adsorption near edge structure (Ti K-edge, f-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>)

## **Surface structure of MXenes**



Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory* 

Extensive ab initio molecular dynamics investigations find:

 Water dissociates on MXene surfaces, leaving OH groups
 Surface F can easily be replaced by O in water environment.

MXene surfaces should be terminated mainly by OH groups with some F and O present after HF etching.

Snapshots at 0, 0.5, and 5 ps of AIMD simulations of bare  $Ti_3C_2$  monolayer in 50% HF (a-c), 25% HF (d-f), and water (g-i) solution.

APS March Meeting, 3 March 2014 Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences as part of an Energy Frontier Research Center

#### **Stacking of multilayer MXene**

Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory* 

The synthesized MXene flakes contain multiple MXene layers and can contain water. By comparing with XRD we can narrow down our structural models.





- Zig-zag packing (Model B) is the stable multilayer structure Stabilized by van der Waals interaction
- We have done extensive comparisons of XRD and predicted lattice constants. Comparison with experimental XRD indicates that water is intercalated into Nb<sub>2</sub>C and V<sub>2</sub>C but not Ti<sub>2</sub>C

## **AIMD** simulations of annealing

Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

We explored changes in surface structure with annealing



Structures after 10 ps of OH terminated  $Nb_2C$ .  $H_2$  is formed at the highest temperature.

- AIMD fully corroborated by nudged elastic band calculations of reaction barriers
- Water formation can happen at lower temperature than H<sub>2</sub> formation
- AIMD simulations reveal trends in required annealing temperatures
- Ti-based MXenes require higher temperature for water formation than other MXenes (Nb<sub>2</sub>C and V<sub>2</sub>C)

## **Experimental verification**







- The *c* lattice paramters of  $Ti_3C_2$  reduced from 19.95 to 19.37 Å after annealing (little water removal), while that of Nb<sub>2</sub>C reduced from 22.34 to 15.85 Å (significant water removal)
- INS confirms that all of the H<sub>2</sub>O/OH are gone
- Consistent with predictions of water intercalation

#### Li adsorption and storage of OH terminated MXenes



Li is weakly adsorbed. Calculated Li capacities are much lower than experimental results. OH termination is not desirable for Li adsorption.

#### Li adsorption and storage of OH terminated MXenes



Li is weakly adsorbed. Calculated Li capacities are much lower than experimental results. OH termination is not desirable for Li adsorption.

#### Li adsorption and storage of OH terminated MXenes



TABLE III. Calculated Li adsorption energy of OH terminated MXenes with vdW–DF methods, and theoretical Li capacities, compared with experimental results.

	E (-17)	${ m F}~({ m mhA^{-1}/g})$		
	$E_{ad}$ (eV)	Theo.	Exp.	r (Exp./Theo.)
$\rm Ti_2C(OH)_2$	0.065	95	110	1.16
$\rm V_2C(OH)_2$	-0.077	91	260	2.86
$\rm Nb_2C(OH)_2$	0.170	58	170	2.93
$\rm Ti_3C_2(OH)_2$	0.171	67	100 (410)	1.49 (6.12)

#### Li is weakly adsorbed. Calculated Li capacities are much lower than experimental results. OH termination is not desirable for Li adsorption.

#### Li adsorption and storage of O terminated MXenes



- O terminated MXenes can adsorb 2 Li directly per formula unit (same as bare MXenes)
- O termination is more preferred for Li storage and gives reasonable capacities
- For d-Ti3C2, the Li experimental capacity can't be purely from the single layer of Li (!!)
- (Bare MXenes, not shown, display intermediate capacities)

#### Li adsorption and storage of O terminated MXenes



- O terminated MXenes can adsorb 2 Li directly per formula unit (same as bare MXenes)
- O termination is more preferred for Li storage and gives reasonable capacities
- For d-Ti3C2, the Li experimental capacity can't be purely from the single layer of Li (!!) Discrepancy too large to be due to DFT errors

#### Li adsorption and storage of MXenes

Li adsorption and storage of O terminated MXenes

MXenes.



TABLE IV. Calculated vDW–DF Li adsorption energy and Li ion storage capacity of O terminated

	$E_{ad}$ (eV)	${ m F}~({ m mhA}^{-1}/{ m g})$				
		Theo.	Exp.	r (Exp./Theo.)	OCV	
${\rm Ti}_2{\rm CO}_2$	-1.364	383	110	0.29	>1	
$V_2 CO_2$	-1.539	367	260	0.71	1.5	
$\rm Nb_2CO_2$	-1.019	233	170	0.73	<1	
$\rm Ti_3C_2O_2$	-1.404	268	100 (410)	0.37(1.53)	>1	

- O terminated MXenes can adsorb 2 Li directly per formula unit (same as bare MXenes)
- O termination is more preferred for Li storage and gives reasonable capacities
- For d-Ti3C2, the Li experimental capacity can't be purely from the single layer of Li (!!)

## **Obtaining O terminations by reaction of OH groups**

Analysis of simple reactions find H2O and H2 formation is feasible. Ti-based materials show the highest reaction barriers... potentially the cause of the lower experimental capacities?

 $M_{n+1}X_n(OH)_2 + 2 Li \rightarrow M_{n+1}X_nO_2Li_2 + H_2$ 

 $M_{n+1}X_n(OH)_2 + 2 Li \rightarrow M_{n+1}X_nOLi_2 + H_2O$ 



## X-ray adsorption spectroscopy measurements of first lithiation/delithiation cycle of f-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>



- Ti<sup>4+</sup> reduced to Ti<sup>3+</sup> upon lithiation.
- No additional conversion reactions
- Two distinct region upon lithiation/delithiation.
- Li capacity reaches 262 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> at the end of region I, which is 1.95 Li adsorbed per Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> unit. Gives confidence in DFT predictions.
- 1.4 extra Li need for the capacity from region II.
- The flat XANES of region II and III suggests no direct interaction between Ti and extra Li.
- The irreversible Li capacity may due to the reduced Li diffusion by reaction products (H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, SEI, etc.)

#### An additional mechanism for Li storage is needed for region II Our proposal: extra Li may be stored on top of Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Li<sub>2</sub>

## **Adsorption of extra Li layer**



Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Li adsorption energy as a function of extra Li layers. We used isolated Li atom as the reference. The cohesive energy of bulk Li is -1.65 eV/atom.

Mechanism is similar to what has been suggested for pristine graphene and some related nanomaterials, a tendency to form a 3D structure

#### A large space is needed for the extra Li layer. $F-Ti_3C_2$ (20 m<sup>2</sup>/g), d-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> (100 m<sup>2</sup>/g).

## **Electron localization function of** Li layers



#### Single Li layer

Two Li layers

- Additional Li displays distinct bonding to first Li layer
- With the additional Li layers, the electrons are localized in the inter layer spaces between Li layers and between outermost Li atoms
- Little change around Ti atoms (consistent with XAS)
- The negative charged electron pockets may screen the Coulumb repulsion between positive Li ions to stabilize the extra Li layers.

Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory* 

#### APS March Meeting, 3 March 2014 Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences as part of an Energy Frontier Research Center

## Goals

#### **1. Understand the origin of the Li capacity in MXenes** A single adsorbed Li layer explains much of the observed capacity.

For high capacity, favor oxygen terminated MXenes and reactions/processing that facilitate oxygen termination.

**2. Explain why Ti-based f-MXenes have lower Li capacity compared to other MXenes? (counterintuitive)** e.g. f-Ti2C 110 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> vs f-Nb2C 170 mAhg<sup>-1</sup>

Ease of surface chemistry varies with MXene. Oxygen termination is preferred.

#### **3. Explain why d-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> has a much higher Li capacity than f-Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>** *Additional Li layers*

## **Prediction of other MXenes**

Rules for high capacity:

- 1. High intrinsic capacity for single layer Li absorbed on oxidized MXene
- 2. Low energy barriers to react away -OH groups from synthesis
- 3. Delamination

e.g. We calculate capacities of not-yet synthesized oxidized Sc<sub>2</sub>C ~400 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> and Cr<sub>2</sub>C ~360 mAhg<sup>-1</sup>



Due to greater reaction barriers, Sc<sub>2</sub>C will likely have a lower Li capacity than Cr<sub>2</sub>C, while Cr<sub>2</sub>C may posses an Li capacity rivaling or exceeding V<sub>2</sub>C (~260 mAhg<sup>-1</sup>) Delamination will further increase capacity

Fluid Interface Reactions, Structures and Transport Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

## Conclusions

- MXenes are a new and extensive family of 2D materials that are promising for applications.
- Sample preparation and surface reactions can significantly influence the energy capacity.
- O termination is preferred for Li storage.
- To explain the high measured capacities of delaminated MXenes, we propose that additional Li capacity may originate from extra Li layers.
- Lots of opportunity to further optimize MXenes.

More info: kentpr@ornl.gov