LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY RESEARCH LIBRARIES
3 4456 0513406 6

CENTRAL RESEARCH LIBRARY
DOCUMENT COLLECTION

...TIONAL LABORATORY
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
NUCLEAR DIVISION
for the
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

ORNL-TM-1880

COPY NO. - 23

DATE - May 26, 1967

HOT CELL EVALUATION OF THE GRIND-LEACH PROCESS WITH IRRADIATED PYROLYTIC CARBON-COATED SOL-GEL THORIA-URANIA PARTICLES

J. H. Goode and J. R. Flanary

ABSTRACT

Duplex pyrolytic carbon-coated sol-gel ThO_2 and $(Th,U)O_2$ particles, irradiated from 15,000 to 17,500 Mwd/metric ton (Th + U) and decayed 10 and 19 months, were ground to -100 mesh to insure fracture of the coatings and, then, were leached with boiling fluoride-catalyzed nitric acid to dissolve the thorium, uranium, and fission products. Recoveries of 99.6% or more of the uranium and 99.9% of the thorium were realized, along with dissolution of more than 86% of the gamma emitters. These results contrast markedly with earlier grind-leach studies, using ground graphite-matrix fuel that contained laminar-coated $(Th,U)C_2$ particles, which gave unacceptable losses of up to 6.9% of the thorium and 4.5% of the uranium to the leached carbon residue. Grinding and leaching may therefore be applicable to HTGR fuels containing coated sol-gel oxide particles, although the feasibility of the process would still be marginal due to the necessity of storing the fission product-contaminated residue as a high - or intermediate-level solid waste.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
CENTRAL RESEARCH LIBRARY
DOCUMENT COLLECTION
LIBRARY LOAN COPY

NOTICE This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

Two principal methods have been proposed for the recovery of thorium and uranium from High Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor (HTGR) fuel elements composed of pyrolytic carbon-coated $(Th,U)C_2$ or $(Th,U)O_2$ particles dispersed in a graphite matrix. With either fuel, the thorium and uranium must be separated from greater quantities of carbon. The proposed burn-leach process involves oxidizing the carbon to CO_2, leaving an "ash" of ThO_2--U_3O_8--fission product oxides to be dissolved in fluoride-catalyzed nitric acid. The concentration of the resulting solution would be adjusted to make feed for a conventional aqueous solvent extraction system. The proposed grind-leach process involves crushing the graphite matrix and fuel particles to expose the thorium- and uranium-containing cores to the leaching action of nitric acid; uranium and thorium are then recovered by solvent extraction.

Hot-cell evaluation of the burn-leach process, with irradiated Peach Bottom Fuel compacts obtained from General Atomics, has been completed and a report is in press.[1] Fission product volatility, the principal unknown prior to the tests, was low and the process appeared applicable to HTGR fuels. However, evaluation of the grind-leach process in the hot-cell[2] with long-decayed Peach Bottom and AVR fuel compacts, containing $(Th,U)C_2$ particles with a single laminar coating, indicated that the leached graphite residues contained excessive thorium (6.9% of the total), uranium (4.5%), and gamma emitters (up to 35%). These results completely contradicted the promises of grind-leach experiments with unirradiated prototype fuel in which recoveries of greater than 99.7% of the heavy metals were realized.

This memo briefly describes grind-leach experiments with duplex-coated sol-gel ThO_2 and $(Th,U)O_2$, and $(Th,U)C_2$ that had been irradiated

LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY RESEARCH LIBRARIES
3 4456 0513406 6

as loose particles (no supporting matrix) in the GCR-ORR Loop as Experiments 1-14 and 1-15. A more complete report of these experiments is in preparation.[3] The particles were ground in a food blender to -100 mesh to insure that all the particles had been broken, and then were leached and washed several times using the best procedures developed during earlier leaching experiments.

Table 1 summarizes the results of these leaching experiments. Recovery of the uranium and thorium was satisfactory in all of the tests, and in the longer-decayed material from experiments 1-14, the average retention of the fission product gamma emitters was 1.97% vs 13.66% in 13 earlier experiments with the prototype Peach Bottom and AVR fuels. The residue from the 10-month-decayed Loop 1-15 $(Th,U)O_2$ particles contained 14.08% of the total gamma emitters in the sample. Radiochemical analysis indicated from 4.5 to 8.5% of most of the fission products were in the residue, but that 26.45% of the ^{95}Nb had not been leached. In the case of the $(Th,U)C_2$ particles of Loop 1-15, where 61.23% of the gamma emitters were found in the pyrolytic carbon residue, 96.6% of the ^{95}Nb, 59.7% of the ^{95}Zr, and 67% of the ^{106}Ru were insoluble.

These few experiments indicated that the grind-leach process might be applicable to HTGR fuels containing pyrolytic carbon-coated sol-gel oxide particles. Our data support the post-irradiation metallographic and microradiographic studies and fission product release experiments of the Reactor Chemistry Division which indicated a superiority of coated oxide particles over coated carbide particles. The superiority is due to little or no migration of thorium or uranium into the pyrolytic carbon coating and better retention of fission products. Our experiments showed that the residue from the oxide particles from experiment 1-15 contained (on a

Table 1. Recovery of Thorium and Uranium from Ground (-100 mesh) Pyrolytic Carbon-Coated Oxide and Carbide Particles Irradiated in GCR-ORR Loop No. 1 by Leaching with Boiling 13M HNO_3--0.05M HF--0.1M $Al(NO_3)_3$

Loop Expt. No.	1-14			1-14			1-15			1-15		
Particle Type	ThO_2 + $(Th,U)O_2$			ThO_2 + $(Th,U)O_2$			$(Th,U)O_2$			ThO_2 + $(Th,U)C_2$		
Coating	Duplex			Duplex			Duplex			Duplex		
Mwd/ton (Th + U)	17,500			17,500			15,000			15,000		
Decay - months	19			19			10			10		
Init. wt-g	13.4			15.3			8.9			17.8		
Sample	U	Th	γ	U	Th	γ	U	Th	γ	U	Th	γ
1st Leach-%	99.35	99.66	96.14	99.32	98.67	95.12	99.86	99.69	74.21	83.76[a]	6.03	28.33
2nd Leach-%	0.35	0.30	1.32	0.46	1.21	3.03	0.10	0.23	11.70	15.81	93.88	10.43
3rd Leach-%	<0.01	0.02	0.25	<0.01	0.05	0.20	b	b	b	b	b	b
Final Wash-%	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	b	b	b	b	b	b
Residue-%	0.29	0.02	2.29	0.21	0.06	1.64	0.04	0.07	14.08	0.42	0.09	61.23

[a] 1st leach with 13M HNO_3 only in attempt to preferentially dissolve the $(Th,U)C_2$; 2nd leach 13M HNO_3--0.05M HF--0.10M $Al(NO_3)_3$ for total dissolution.

[b] 3rd leach and washes combined with 2nd leach for analysis

weight basis) one-fifth as much ruthenium, one-third as much zirconium, and one-fourth as much niobium as the residue from the Experiment 1-15 carbide particles. We have evidence that a large fraction of the cerium and cesium in the carbide-core particles had migrated through the pyrolytic coatings into the outer container.

Although the grind-leach process might be used for the recovery of thorium and uranium from HTGR fuels that contain carbon-coated oxide particles, the practicality of the process might still be marginal due to the quantity of fission products retained by the leached carbon residue. Even in the case of long-decayed fuel the residues would have to be treated as intermediate-to high-level solid wastes, which would increase the cost of processing.

REFERENCES

1. J. R. Flanary et. al., Hot Cell Evaluation of the Burn-Leach Process Using Irradiated Graphite-Base HTGR Fuels, ORNL-4120 (in press).

2. J. R. Flanary and J. H. Goode, Hot Cell Evaluation of the Grind-Leach Process. I. Irradiated Pyrocarbon-Coated $(Th,U)C_2$ Particle-Graphite Matrix HTGR Fuels, ORNL-4117 (in press).

3. J. H. Goode and J. R. Flanary, Hot Cell Evaluation of the Grind-Leach Process. II. Irradiated Pyrolytic Carbon-Coated Sol-Gel Thoria-Urania Particles, ORNL- (in preparation).

DISTRIBUTION

1. R. E. Blanco
2. W. D. Bond
3. R. E. Brooksbank
4. K. B. Brown
5. D. J. Crouse
6. D. E. Ferguson
7. L. M. Ferris
8. J. R. Flanary
9. H. E. Goeller
10-15. J. H. Goode
16. E. L. Nicholson
17. L. E. McGinnis
18. J. T. Roberts
19. V. C. A. Vaughen
20. C. D. Watson
21. M. E. Whatley
22. R. G. Wymer
23-24. Central Research Library
25-26. Document Reference Section
27. ORNL Patent Office
28-29. Laboratory Records
30. Laboratory Records, ORNL-RC
31. Leslie Burris, Jr., ANL, Argonne, Ill.
32. S. A. Lawroski, ANL, Argonne, Ill.
33. W. H. McVey, RDT, AEC, Wash.
34-49. DTIE
50. Research and Development Div., ORO